

CHARACTERIZATION OF NITROGEN OXIDE FLUXES FROM SOIL OF A FALLOW FIELD IN THE CENTRAL PIEDMONT OF NORTH CAROLINA

DEUG-SOO KIM, VINEY P. ANEJA* and WAYNE P. ROBARGE†

Department of Marine, Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-8208, U.S.A.

(First received 5 May 1993 and in final form 31 October 1993)

Abstract-- NO_x emissions from soils may contribute to the formation of O₃ in rural areas, especially when there is substantial emissions of natural hydrocarbons from surrounding vegetation, such as in the southeastern United States. Soil NO_x flux measurements were made from 6 June 1992 to 7 July 1992 in the central Piedmont region of North Carolina (Southeast Oxidants and Nitrogen Intensive Analysis site, SONIA) in an effort to determine the role of natural emissions of NO_x on rural atmospheric photochemistry. The overall average NO and NO₂ emission rates, using a dynamic chamber technique, were found to be 1.79 ± 1.37 ng-Nm⁻²s⁻¹ (range: 0.13 to 6.67 ng-Nm⁻²s⁻¹) and -1.07 ± 0.87 ng-Nm⁻²s⁻¹ (range: -6.71 to 3.16 ng-Nm⁻²s⁻¹), respectively. Over 85% of the NO₂ flux measurements were negative indicating net deposition to the soil surface. No negative NO flux rates were observed. NO flux was correlated with soil temperature. There was a positive correlation between NO concentration near the soil surface (~50 cm) and NO flux (r=0.35). The NO compensation point (1.12 ppbv) was estimated from the relationship between NO emission rate and ambient NO concentrations measured at 10 m. Both positive vertical gradients of NO concentration between 10 m and soil surface were detected. The positive vertical gradients are indicative of NO transport to the site from polluted air masses. A significant negative correlation between NO flux and ambient O₃ concentration (r=0.66), however, supports the hypothesis that soil emissions of NO contribute to local production of O₃ in rural areas.

Key word index: Nitrogen oxides, NO_x emission, dynamic chamber, NO compensation point, water-filled pore space, soil temperature.

INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen oxide $(NO_x = NO + NO_2)$ plays an important role in the ozone chemistry of the atmosphere. Moreover, the distribution of the hydroxyl radical (OH) has been shown to be sensitive to the level of NO_x in the atmosphere (Liu *et al.*, 1987).

In urban areas, oxides of nitrogen are emitted into the atmosphere primarily by anthropogenic processes, such as combustion of fossil fuels at point sources (power plants), by mobile sources, and biomass burning (Logan, 1983). Since these anthropogenic sources are confined to small geographic areas, NO_x budgets for urban areas can be reasonably well quantified. NO_x budgets for rural areas are more difficult to assess. Natural sources of NO_x, principally lightning and emission from soils, are more wide spread and less intense making quantitative estimation much more uncertain (Williams et al., 1992a). In addition, the effect of soil parameters on NO_x emissions is not well understood. NO_x emission from soils exhibits high spatial and temporal variability because of variations in the soil physical, chemical and biological parameters that influence the rate of emissions. These parameters include soil water content, temperature, nutrient content, organic carbon content and ambient NO concentration (Slemr and Seiler, 1984; Anderson and Levine, 1987; Williams *et al.*, 1987; Johansson *et al.*, 1988; Johansson and Sanhueza, 1988). Therefore, uncertainties in NO_x budgets for rural areas could cause an incorrect assessment of the role of natural sources of NO_x in atmospheric chemistry.

One use for NO_x emissions data is as input data for photochemical models. For regional photochemical modelling studies it is important to accurately estimate the flux of NO_x from soils, especially in rural areas, because emissions from natural sources may dominate those from anthropogenic sources transported to the site. Consequently, field measurements of NO_x soil emissions from different locations are desirable to parameterize NO_x emissions for a variety of environments.

Several techniques have been utilized to measure the emission fluxes from soils. These are the flowthrough chamber, gradient, and eddy correlation methods. Thus far, most published measurements of NO_x soil fluxes have relied on the flow-through chamber method (Galbally and Roy, 1978; Slemr and Seiler, 1984; Anderson and Levine, 1987; Williams et al., 1987; Parrish et al., 1987; Johansson et al., 1988; Johansson and Sanhueza, 1988). Limited intercomparison analysis between the flow-through chamber method and gradient method indicates both tech-

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

[†] Department of Soil Science.

niques provide similar flux estimates (Parrish et al., 1987; Kaplan et al., 1988).

In this paper we present preliminary results of the measurement of NO_x flux from soil at a location characteristic of rural areas throughout much of the southeastern United States. NO_x flux measurements were made using a dynamic chamber technique (Aneja, 1975; Hill *et al.*, 1978; Aneja *et al.*, 1979) in an effort to gain insight into the role of natural emissions of NO_x on rural photochemistry. These flux measurements were complemented with observations of ambient ozone (O₃). Observed relationships between NO_x flux, soil parameters, ambient NO_x concentration, and ambient O₃ concentration are discussed.

EXPERIMENTAL

Sampling site

Flux measurements were made at the Southeast Oxidants and Nitrogen Intensive Analysis (SONIA) site (35.26°N, 79.84 W, ~ 170 m m.s.l.), which is operated by the Air Quality group at North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC. The site is near Candor, NC on the eastern border of the Uwharrie National Forest which is in the central Piedmont region of North Carolina. The site is co-located with a National Dry Deposition Network (NDDN) site defined as rural. The sampling location was a fallow agricultural field $(area \sim 1200 \text{ m}^2)$ which was previously used to grow soybeans (~10 years ago) and is surrounded by mixed deciduous and coniferous forest. The soil is a clayey, kaolinitic, thermic Typic Hapludult (Georgeville clay loam), which is a well drained, moderately permeable soil formed from weathered Carolina Slate or fine grained rock of the Piedmont uplands (Daniels et al., 1984). The vegetative cover consisted of unmanaged pasture with areas of exposed soil.

Flux measurements

 NO_x flux measurements were obtained from six sampling plots within a 20 m radius of the mobile instrumentation laboratory. The sampling plots were chosen at random and sampled during a period from 6 June 1992 to 7 July 1992.

Flux measurements at a given sampling plot were terminated if a rainfall event occurred during the planned measurement period. A new sampling plot was selected if measurements were to continue after the end of the rainfall event. These flux measurements were usually resumed within two hours. No attempt was made to obtain flux measurements immediately following a rainfall event. Additional measurements included continuous monitoring of the concentrations of NO, NO₂ and O₃ at 10 m above ground level. Two 24 h experiments were conducted to examine the diurnal profile of NO_x flux. Meteorological data was continuously monitored throughout the sampling period.

Initial total soil water content at the start of each flux measurement was determined from soil samples collected using a bucket auger (0–15 cm depth), ~ 50 cm away from each sampling plot. Final total soil water content, pH, and elemental carbon and nitrogen content (Robarge and Fernandez, 1986) were obtained from soil samples taken after removal of the sampling chamber. Soil water content was converted to percent water-filled pore space using an average bulk density of 1.30 g cm^{-3} and assuming a particle density of 2.65 g cm^{-3} . Soil temperature measurements were obtained by inserting thermometers into the soil adjacent to the sampling chamber.

Chamber design and operation

The dynamic chamber used in this study is a Teflon-lined cylinder (diameter ~ 27 cm, height ~ 42 cm, and volume $\sim 25 \ell$) held in place by a stainless steel frame driven into the ground to a depth of ~ 10 cm (Fig. 1). Ambient air is pumped through the chamber at a constant flow rate (Q = 9 l.p.m.), and the air in the chamber is well mixed by a motor driven Teflon stirrer (~ 20 cm diameter, 120 r.p.m.). Air samples were collected after reaching steady state conditions (~ 30 min of operation) at both ports of the chamber using Teflon bags ($\sim 10 \ell$). The collection period was typically ~ 5 min. The air samples in these bags were then immediately analysed ($< 1 \min$) for their NO and NO₂ concentrations.

Instrumentation

Determination of the concentration of NO and NO₂ in the air samples was carried out using a TECO 42S chemiluminescent high sensitivity NO analyser (Thermo Environmental Instruments Inc.), and a LMA-3 Luminol based NO₂ analyser (Scintrex Ltd). The instruments were periodically calibrated according to protocol using multiple dilution with a mixture of 0.109 ppmv NO in N₂ and a mixture of 0.116 ppmv of NO₂ in N₂ (Scott Specialty Gases, Inc., Plumsteadville, PA). Detection limits for these instruments are cited at 50 parts per trillion volume (pptv) for NO (Thermo Environmental Instrument, Inc., 1992) and \sim 5 pptv for NO₂ (Scintrex, Ltd LMA-3 Operators Manual,



Fig. 1. The schematic figure of the dynamic flow-through chamber. The walls and all internal surfaces are fluorinated ethylene propylene (FEP) Teflon.

1. Summary of NO flux for each plot, and soil characterisitcs of the emission locale

Table

1987). Additional information concerning the instrumentation is described elsewhere (Kim *et al.*, 1993; Dickerson *et al.*, 1984; Scintrex Ltd, 1989).

Flux calculation

The mass balance for NO in the chamber is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}C}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}[C]_0}{V} + \frac{JA}{V}\right) - \left(\frac{LA[C]_{\mathrm{f}}}{V} + \frac{\mathcal{Q}[C]_{\mathrm{f}}}{V}\right) + R \tag{1}$$

where

A = soil surface area covered by the chamber;

V = volume of the chamber:

Q = flow rate through the chamber;

J = emission flux per unit area;

C = NO concentration in the chamber;

 $[C]_0 = NO$ concentration at the inlet of chamber;

 $[C]_f = NO$ concentration at the outlet of chamber;

L=loss term by chamber wall per unit area assumed first order in [C];

R = chemical production/destruction rate in the chamber. For a well-mixed chamber $[C]_f$ may be assumed to be

equal to the NO concentration in the chamber. The rapid oxidization of NO in the atmosphere requires that the calculated NO fluxes be corrected for possible chemical reactions within the chamber. NO is oxidized rapidly in the atmosphere. Generally, three oxidants have a major role in the oxidation of atmospheric NO. They are O_3 , HO_2 and RO_2 (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 1986). NO_2 flux (even negative flux) is typically much lower than NO flux from soils (Slemr and Seiler, 1991: Johansson and Granat, 1984) and the low ambient concentrations of NO₂ at site SONIA are not expected to produce significant quantities of NO in the chamber. Thus, R in equation (1) can be written as

$$R = -(k_1[O_3] + k_2[HO_2] + k_3[RO_2])[NO]$$

= $-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} k_i R_i\right)C$ (2)

where R_i is each reactant species and $k_1(=1.8 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$, $k_2(=8.3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ and $k_3(=7.6 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ are reaction rates for O₃, HO₂, and RO₂ with NO, respectively. Equation (1) becomes

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}C}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\left(\frac{Q}{V} + \frac{L}{h} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} k_i R_i\right)C + \left(\frac{J}{h} + \frac{Q[C]_0}{V}\right) \quad (3)$$

where $h(= \sim 42 \text{ cm})$ is the height of the chamber. Solving equation (3) for the NO flux, J, under steady state condition, yields

$$J = h \left\{ \frac{Q}{V} (C_f - C_0) + \left(\frac{L}{h} + \sum_{i=1}^3 K_i R_i \right) C_f \right\}.$$
 (4)

Surface O₃, RO₂ and HO₂ concentrations are necessary for the calculation of the chemical loss term. RO2 concentrations have been calculated at Scotia, PA (Trainer et al., 1991), and site SONIA (Hartsell, 1993); and measured at site ROSE, AL (Cantrell et al., 1992). All are similar in magnitude. Maximum RO2 concentrations for the three rural sites during strong photochemical conditions were on the order of 10^9 mol cm⁻³. For site SONIA, the average noontime RO₂ concentration was about 30 pptv (7.4×10^8 mol cm⁻³). HO₂ concentrations are expected to be $\sim 30\%$ of RO₂ and average daytime O3 concentrations at site SONIA were about 50 ppby. However, O₃, HO₂ and RO₂ are rapidly deposited onto the interior surface of the chamber, and the enclosed vegetation and exposed soil. Near surface O3 concentrations used for the flux calculation were assumed to be equal to the nighttime O_3 average (~ 5 ppbv) measured at the site. Estimates of the near surface concentrations of HO₂ and RO_2 (approximately 60% of ambient levels) were based on model projections (Trainer et al., 1987a; Hartsell, 1993).

The loss of NO by reaction with the Teflon-coated wall of the chamber was estimated by taking the difference between

		NO flux	*(ng-N m ⁻² s ⁻¹)				Soil meas	urements†		Amt	ient
Plots	All measurements	Sample number	Daytime 7 a.m7 p.m.	Nighttime 8 p.m4 a.m.	Hq	Carbon (%)	Nitrogen (%)	WFPS [‡] (%)	Soil temperature ଁପ	(vdqq)	[O₃]* (ppbv)
	1.46 (0.36)	4	1.46 (0.36)		6.44	0.87	0.08	59	26.1 (2.1)	0.42 (0.13)	46.0 (6.7)
. 6	0.90 (0.65)	6	0.90 (0.65)		6.14	0.93	0.08	48	30.5 (1.2)	0.20 (0.14)	52.6 (11.4)
. ~	2.09 (0.88)	7	2.09 (0.88)		6.59	0.75	0.06	54	28.6 (1.9)	0.27 (0.11)	44.6 (5.8)
- ব	1.09 (0.99)	24	1.17 (1.01)	0.75 (0.41)	7.55	0.87	0.07	2	24.7 (2.8)	0.33 (0.10)	45.8 (14.1)
· ~	1.79 (0.35)	10	1.79 (0.35)		6.59	0.63	0.05	36	30.5 (2.1)	0.08 (0.12)	49.5 (5.7)
9	2.94 (1.72)	21	2.34 (1.27)	3.92 (1.97)	6.77	0.74	0.06	36	28.0 (2.6)	0.12 (0.09)	30.0 (15.8)
Average	1.79	75	1.63	2.34	6.68	0.80	0.07		27.6	0.24	44.8
S.D.	1.37		0.55	2.24	0.48	0.11	0.01		3.3	0.13	7.8
* Aver † Clay	age (1 standard deviat 2y, kaolinitic, thermic	ion). Typic Hapl	udult (Georgeville cl	ay loam).							

Water-filled pore space.

the combined surface loss as proposed by Kaplan *et al.* (1988) and the chemical loss in the chamber as calculated by equation (2). This approach results in a wall loss, L, of 0.02 cm s⁻¹.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

No difference was detected between the initial and final total soil water content for each sampling plot. The calculated water-filled pore space ranged from 36 to 64% (Table 1), which is approximately equal to the optimal range of moisture contents for nitrification and emission of NO (Davidson, 1991). Soil temperature averaged 27.6 ± 3.3 °C, and ranged from 16 to 33°C during the course of a single day. The average soil carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) contents were typical for this soil type and varied little among the sampling plots (Table 1). This is consistent with the influence of tillage operations on the soil surface, and the lack of a well developed root mass. The slightly acidic soil pH(6.68 + 0.48) suggests the addition of lime at some point in the past. This is consistent with soybeans being the last row crop grown at this location.

NO emission data along with ambient concentrations of NO and O₃ are also summarized in Table 1. The overall average NO emission rate was found to be 1.79 ± 1.37 ng-N m⁻²s⁻¹ with extremes of 0.13 and 6.67 ng-N m⁻²s⁻¹. This is comparable with NO flux in a temperate forest in central Pennsylvania (0.2-4.1 ng-N m⁻²s⁻¹) measured by Williams *et al.* (1988). There was a tendency for ambient NO concentrations at 10 m to decrease during the sampling period, while the ambient O₃ concentration remained relatively constant. Most field measurements have not detected NO₂ emissions; however, Slemr and Seiler (1984) have reported that NO₂ was emitted, ranging from negative to higher than 250 ng-N m⁻² s⁻¹ on unfertilized soil. The overall average NO₂ flux was found to be -1.07 ± 0.87 ng-N m⁻² s⁻¹ with extremes of -6.71and 3.16 ng-N m⁻² s⁻¹. The majority (85%) of the NO₂ flux measurements were negative in value indicating net deposition to the soil surface. Any remarkable conditions under which NO₂ emission occurred were not detected.

Diurnal variation of nitrogen oxides flux from soil

Figure 2 illustrates the diurnal variation in NO flux measured during the experimental period. Composite diurnal variations of NO and NO₂ flux using only the data measured from 08:00 to 20:00 EST are shown in Fig. 3. The symbols indicate the average NO and NO_2 fluxes for each hour while the error bars denote \pm one standard deviation. Maximum NO flux (approximately 3.0 ng-N m⁻² s⁻¹) appears to occur around 10:00 EST, while a minimum is observed at 18:00 EST. The remainder of the time the average flux remains relatively constant (~ 1.5 ng-N m⁻² s⁻¹). The increases in NO flux during the morning hours may be due to an increase in soil temperature. However, maximum daily soil temperature typically occurred at 14:00 EST. No trends were evident in the hourly variation of NO₂ flux, indicating that deposition to the soil surface remained constant during daylight hours.

Variation of NO emission rate with soil temperature

NO flux appeared to vary with soil temperature (Fig. 4), and visual inspection of the data suggests



Fig. 2. Diurnal NO flux from six different plots on site SONIA.

Composite hourly variation of NO flux (08:00 - 20:00)



Composite hourly variation of NO2 flux (08:00-20:00)



Fig. 3. Composite diurnal variations of NO and NO₂ emission rates during the daytime (0800–2000 EST).



Fig. 4. Plot of NO flux vs soil temperature. Vertical lines indicate 1 standard deviation of the average NO flux, measured over the soil temperature range spanned by the horizontal bars.

a peak in NO emission rate at approximately 26°C. However, no statistically significant trend in the data was detected. The lack of a linear relationship between NO flux and soil temperature is consistent with similar observations elsewhere in the southeastern United States (Williams and Feshenfeld, 1991). Emission of oxides of nitrogen from soils has been reported to have strong temporal and spatial variation because of its dependence on controlling factors such as soil temperature, as well as soil pH, soil water content, porosity, plant cover, level of fertilization and ambient NO concentration above the soil (Williams *et al.*, 1992a, 1992b; Williams *et al.*, 1988; Anderson and Levine, 1987). Additional information will be required to determine why we were unable to detect a significant relationship between NO flux and soil temperature for the range of values observed in this study.

The range in NO emission rates and soil temperature at site SONIA is similar to that observed for other rural sites in the eastern United States. Average NO fluxes at site SONIA ranged from 0.9 to 2.9 ng-Nm⁻²s⁻¹ and are comparable with results from a wheat field in Rock Spring, PA ($0.6 \sim 1.7$ ng-Nm⁻²s⁻¹), and a forested rural site in Scotia, PA ($0.2 \sim 2.1$ ng-Nm⁻²s⁻¹) (Williams and Feshenfeld, 1991). All three sites experienced a similar span of soil temperatures (15–35°C).

NO compensation point

The NO compensation point is defined as NO mixing ratio in ambient air at which the net flux rate is zero, i.e. NO destruction equals NO formation (Slemr and Seiler, 1991). It is calculated from the negative correlation of all flux rates measured during the pertinent experiment with the corresponding ambient air mixing ratios. The existence of a NO compensation point has frequently been observed in the field, and knowledge of its value is important in the estimation of NO emission rates from soils. The compensation point represents values averaged over the length of the sampling period, and they will reflect the average soil conditions, such as fertilization, soil porosity, moisture, and temperature (Slemr and Seiler, 1991). The compensation point may also reflect various physiochemical processes at the experimental site because the levels of ambient NO concentration used in the calculation result from the coupled effects of physical processes (i.e. long-range transport and mixing processes), and chemical reactions in the atmosphere.

The compensation point was estimated from the relationship between NO emission rates and ambient NO concentrations. Figure 5 shows a scatter plot of NO flux measurements vs NO mixing ratio in ambient air at 10 m above ground level. There is a negative correlation (r=0.24) between NO flux and ambient NO concentrations, but there is a considerable amount of scatter in the data. The NO compensation point estimated from the least-square fit of the data in Fig. 5 is 1.12 ppbv (n = 75), and the statistical confidence in the estimate of the compensation point is small because of the broad confidence interval (± 1.56). Slemr and Seiler (1991) observed that correlation of NO flux rates with ambient NO concentrations were significant only on plant-covered plots. The value of the NO compensation points on these plots were sensitive to the soil fertilization. The NO compensation points estimated from the relationship between NO flux and ambient NO concentration ranged from 0.3 to 1.2 ppbv at a rural site in West Germany in their study.

The positive correlation between NO concentration near the soil surface (~ 50 cm) and NO flux from the soil (r=0.35) is illustrated in Fig. 6. The positive correlation indicates that as NO flux increases, surface NO concentration increases as well.

Interaction of NO fluxes and NO concentration just above the surface, and 10 m are very complex. The mechanism which affects the NO concentration gradient between near surface level (0.5 m) and ambient level (~10 m) is complicated by several factors, including O_3 levels, mixing conditions, soil factors, etc. However, in situations where ambient NO concentrations are low, i.e. in a rural environment, the dominant factors affecting NO concentration are probably soil factors.

If we assume that soil emission is the primary source of NO at SONIA, there may be a negative vertical gradient of NO concentration as one moves away from the soil surface. Measurements of ambient NO concentration at 10 m and in the near surface



Fig. 5. NO flux vs ambient NO concentration. The solid line represents the regression equation and the dotted lines indicate 90% confidence intervals of NO flux.

layer (~ 50 cm) at site SONIA, however, showed both positive and negative vertical gradients of NO concentration. The number of positive and negative gradients was nearly equally distributed (48% positive, 52% negative, n=66).

The positive and negative gradients observed appeared to be related to air mass type and origin. Over 60% of the negative vertical gradients were observed under conditions of an easterly wind. Easterly flow is expected to be mostly marine in origin and should have lower pollutant concentrations due to its oceanic origin and the lack of significant anthropogenic emission sources east of site SONIA. About 70% of the positive vertical gradients observed were associated with northerly and southwesterly winds. Northerly and southwesterly flow at site SONIA is continental in origin and is more heavily impacted by anthropogenic sources. Long-range transport of polluted air from anthropogenic source areas may provide NO aloft over site SONIA. This polluted air aloft is probably mixed down into the boundary layer and transported to the surface during the breakup of the nocturnal boundary layer (Kim et al., 1993; Trainer et al., 1987b). This in turn would act to confound the relationship between NO concentration at the soil surface (~ 50 cm) and NO flux illustrated in Fig. 6.

NO flux and ambient O₃ concentration

In the atmosphere, NO is rapidly oxidized to NO₂ by reaction with O₃ especially during the night. Thus significant concentrations of NO and O₃ are usually not found in the same air mass. Additionally, O3 does not accumulate during a photochemical air pollution episode until the NO concentration has fallen to low values (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 1986). A significant negative correlation was observed between NO flux and ambient O_3 concentrations (Fig. 7; R = 0.66) at site SONIA. NO flux at low levels of O3 concentration (<30 ppbv) varied widely (from 0.1 to 7 ng-N m⁻² s⁻¹), while the range in NO flux at high levels of O_3 concentration (>60 ppbv) was limited from 0.5 to 2.5 ng-N m⁻² s⁻¹. These results may suggest that NO emission from the soils increases ambient NO concentrations and that the locally produced



Fig. 6. NO flux vs ambient NO concentration near the surface ($\sim 0.5m$).



Fig. 7. NO flux vs ambient O₃ concentration at site SONIA.

NO may form O_3 after reaction with peroxy radicals to form NO₂. The large natural hydrocarbon emissions in the rural southeast United States due to dense vegetation and high temperature (Chameides *et al.*, 1992; Khalil and Rasmussen, 1992; Cantrell *et al.*, 1992) may provide the necessary RO₂ to fuel O₃ accumulation. Thus consumption of available NO from soil emission in the presence of natural hydrocarbon emissions may contribute to local production of O₃ at site SONIA.

SUMMARY

The range in NO emission rates from the soil we observed at site SONIA (0.13–6.67 ng-N m⁻²s⁻¹) is similar to those reported for other locations in the eastern United States (Williams and Feshenfeld, 1991). The negative correlation between NO soil flux and O₃ concentrations suggests that local production of NO from soil emission at this location does contribute to the production of O₃. However, the presence of positive vertical gradients of NO (48% of the total observations) points to a significant contribution of NO from aloft during breakup of the nocturnal boundary layer. The source of this NO is probably long-range transport of polluted air from anthroprogenic sources to site SONIA.

These results from site SONIA demonstrate that the emission of NO from soils might be an important source of atmospheric NO concentration in the rural southeastern United States. Smith et al. (1990) have observed that, under well-aerated conditions in nonfertilized systems, NO production followed the order of coniferous forest > native shortgrass prairie > follow agricultural fields. The majority of landmass in the southeastern United States is covered by coniferous forests (Buol, 1973). It is clear that more comprehensive research into the role of naturally produced nitrogen species from soils and their emission rates are needed to enhance our understanding of the chemical climatology of the southeast region. The understanding gained from many widespread field measurements for naturally produced nitrogen species are of great importance to a well-developed photo-chemical model of the southeastern United States.

Acknowledgements—We acknowledge Dr B. Gay and Mr T. Pierce, U.S. EPA, Dr H. Jeffries, UNC at Chapel Hill and members of our Air Quality group, Benjamin Hartsell, Zheng Li and Mita Das for their assistance and discussions on atmospheric oxidants; and Mrs P. Aneja, Ms M. DeFeo and Ms B. Batts in the preparation of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Anderson I. C. and Levine J. S. (1987) Simultaneous field measurements of biogenic emissions of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide. J. geophys. Res. 92, 965–976
- Aneja V. P. (1975) Characterization of sources of biogenic

atmospheric sulfur compounds. M. S. Thesis, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC.

- Aneja V. P., Overton J. H., Cupitt L. T., Durham J. L. and Wilson W. E. (1979) Direct measurements of emission rates of some atmospheric biogenic sulfur compounds. *Tellus* 31, 174–178.
- Buol S. W. (1973) Soils of the Southern States and Puerto Rico. United States Department of Agriculture. Southern Cooperative Series Bulletin No. 174, 105p.
- Cantrell C. A., Lind J. A., Shetter R. E., Calvart J. G., Goldan P. D., Kuster W., Feshenfeld F. C., Montzka S. A., Parriah D. D., Williams E. J., Buhr M. P., Westberg H. H., Allwine G. and Martin R. (1992) Peroxy radicals in the ROSE experiment: measurement and theory. J. geophys. Res. 97, 20,671-20,686.
- Chameides W. L., Fehsenfeld F., Rodgers M. O., Cardelina C., Martinez J., Parrish D., Lonneman W., Lawson D. R., Rasmussen R. A., Zimmerman P., Greenberg J., Middleton P. and Wang T. (1992) Ozone precursor relationships in the ambient atmosphere. J. geophys. Res. 97, 6037-6054.
- Daniels R. B., Kleiss H. J., Buol S. W., Byrd H. J. and Phillips J. A. (1984) Soil systems in North Carolina, North Carolina Agricultural Research Services, Bulletin 467, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina. 77p.
- Davidson E. A. (1991) Fluxes of nitrous oxide and nitric oxide from terrestrial ecosystems. In Microbial Production and Consumption of Greenhouse Gases: Methane, Nitrogen Oxides, and Halomethanes (edited by Rogers J. E, and Whitman W. B.), pp. 219-235. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D. C. 20005.
- Dickerson R. R., Delany A. C. and Wartburg A. F. (1984) Further modification of commercial NO_x detector for high sensitivity. *Rev. sci. Instrum.* 55, 1995–1998.
- Finlayson-Pitts B. J. and Pitts J. N. Jr (1986) Atmospheric Chemistry: Fundamentals and Experimental Techniques, pp. 526-528. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Galbally I. E. and Roy C. R. (1978) Loss of fixed nitrogen from soils by nitric oxide exhalation. *Nature* 275, 734-735.
- Hartsell B. E. (1993) Characterization of peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) in the rural and urban Southeast U. S., Masters thesis, Department of Marine, Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, North Carolina University, Raleigh, North Carolina.
- Hill F. B., Aneja V. P. and Felder R. M. (1978) A technique for measurements of biogenic sulfur emission fluxes. J. envir. Sci. Health A1B 3,199-225.
- Johansson C. and Granat L. (1984) Emission of nitric oxide from arable land. *Tellus* **36B**, 25-37.
- Johansson C. and Sanhueza E. (1988) Emission of NO from savanna soils during rainy season. J. geophys. Res. 93, 14,193-14,198.
- Johansson C., Rodhe H. and Sanhueza E. (1988) Emission of NO in a tropical savanna and a cloud forest during the dry season. J. geophys. Res. 93, 7180–7192.
- Kaplan W. A., Wofsy S. C., Keller M. and Costa J. M. D. (1988) Emission of NO and deposition of O₃ in a tropical forest system. J. geophys. Res. **93**, 1389–1395.
- Khalil M. A. K. and Rasmussen R. A. (1992) Forest hydrocarbon emissions: relationships between fluxes and ambient concentrations. J. Air Waste Man. Ass. 42, 810-813.
- Kim D. -S., Hartsell B. E. and Aneja V. P. (1993) Measurements and analysis of reactive nitrogen species in the rural troposphere of southeast United States: Southern Oxidants Study Site SONIA. Atmospheric Environment (submitted).
- Liu S., Trainer M., Fehsenfeld F. C., Parrish D. D., Williams E. J., Fahey D. W., Hubler G. and Murphy P. C. (1987) Ozone production in the rural troposphere and the implication for regional an global ozone distributions. *J. geophys. Res.* **92**, 4191–4207.
- Logan J. A. (1983) Nitrogen oxides in the troposphere; global

and regional budgets. J. geophys. Res. 88, 10,785-10,807.

- Parrish D. D., Williams E. J., Fahey D. W., Liu S. C. and Fehsenfeld F. C. (1987) Measurement of nitrogen oxide fluxes from soil: intercomparison of enclosure and gradient measurement techniques. J. geophys. Res. 92, 2165-2171.
- Robarge W. P. and Fernandez I. (1986) Quality assurance methods manual for laboratory analytical techniques.
 U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Research Laboratory, Corvallis, Oregon. 204 p.
- Scintrex Ltd (1987) LMA-3 LUMINOX operation Manual, SCINTREX/UNISEARCH, Concord, Ontario, Canada, 1987.
- Slemr F. and Seiler W. (1984) Field measurements of NO and NO₂ emissions from fertilized and unfertilized soils. J. atmos. Chem. 2, 1-24.
- Slemr F. and Seiler W. (1991) Field study of environmental variables controlling the NO emissions from soil and the NO compensation point. J. geophys. Res. 96, 13,017-13,031.
- Smith S. J., Schepers J. S. and Poster L. K. (1990) Assessing and managing agricultural nitrogen losses to the environment. Adv. Soil. Sci. 14, 1-35.
- Thermo Environmental Instruments Inc. (1992) Instruction Manual Model 42(S): chemiluminescence $NO-NO_2-NO_x$ analyzer. Designated reference method number RFNA-1289–074, Franklin, MA, 1992.
- Trainer M., Hsie E. Y., McKleen S. A., Tallamuratu R., Parrish D. D., Fehsenfeld F. C. and Liu S. C. (1987a)

Impact of natural hydrocarbons on hydroxyl and peroxyradicals at a remote site. J. geophys. Res. 92, 11,879-11,894.

- Trainer M., Williams E. J., Parrish D.D., Buhr M. P., Allwine E. J., Westberg H. H., Fehsenfeld F. C. and Liu S. C. (1987b) Models and observations of the impact of natural hydrocarbons on rural ozone. *Nature* 329, 705-707.
- Trainer M., Buhr M. P., Curran C. M., Fehsenfeld F. C., Hsie E. Y., Liu S. C., Norton R. B., Parrish D. D. and Williams E. J. (1991) Observations and modeling of the reactive nitrogen photochemistry at a rural site. J. geophys. Res. 96, 3045-3063.
- Williams E. J. and Fehsenfeld F. C. (1991) Measurement of soil nitrogen oxide emissions at three North American ecosystems. J. geophys. Res. 96, 1033-1042.
- Williams E. J., Parrish D. D. and Fehsenfeld F. C. (1987) Determination of nitrogen oxide emission from soils: results from a grassland site in Colorado, United States. J. geophys. Res. 92, 2173-2179.
- Williams E. J., Parrish D. D., Buhr M. P. and Fehsenfeld F. C. (1988) Measurement of soil NO_x emission in Central Pennsylvania. J. geophys. Res. 93, 9539-9546.
- Williams E. J., Guenther A. and Fehsenfeld F. C. (1992a) An inventory of nitric oxide emissions from soils in the United States. J. geophys. Res. 97, 7511-7519.
- Williams E. J., Hutchinson G. L. and Fehsenfeld F. C. (1992b) NO_x and N_zO emissions from soil. Global biogeochem. Cycles **6**, 351-388.