

Modeling agricultural air quality: Current status, major challenges, and outlook

Yang Zhang^{a,*}, Shiang-Yuh Wu^{b,c}, Srinath Krishnan^a, Kai Wang^a, Ashley Queen^a,
Viney P. Aneja^a, S. Pal Arya^a

^aDepartment of Marine, Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-8208, USA

^bDepartment of Environmental Quality, Richmond, VA 23240, USA

^cClarke County, Nevada Department of Air Quality and Environmental Quality, Las Vegas, NV 89155, USA

Received 19 September 2006; accepted 31 January 2007

Abstract

Agricultural air quality is an important emerging area of atmospheric sciences that represents significant challenges in many aspects of research including measurements, modeling, regulations, emission control, and operation managements. This work presents a review of current status, major challenges, and future research needs and opportunities of several important aspects of agricultural air quality modeling including chemical species, concentration and deposition measurements for model verification, emission inventories, major physical and chemical processes, model application and evaluation, and policy implications.

© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Agriculture; Air quality; Ammonia; Reduced nitrogen; 3-D modeling

1. Introduction

Air quality research in the past half century focuses largely on criteria pollutants such as nitrogen oxides (NO_x), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), ozone (O₃), and particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter equal or less than 2.5 μm (PM_{2.5}). Limited attention has been given to non-criteria air pollutants such as reduced nitrogen- and sulfur-containing compounds from agricultural sources (e.g., ammonia (NH₃), hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), nitrous oxide (N₂O)) that may play important roles in the

formation of tropospheric O₃, SO₂, acids, and PM_{2.5}, and the eutrophication of the ecosystems. Agriculture provides a major source of those nitrogen- and sulfur-containing compounds (e.g., livestock, fertilizer, soils, and biomass burning). For example, 90% of the atmospheric NH₃ emission results from animal production and emissions from slurries and manures in the US (Davison and Cape, 2003) and many European countries (Van Der Hoek, 1998; Hutchings et al., 2001; Sotiropoulou et al., 2004). Growing evidence has shown that the increased size and geographical concentration of animal-feeding operations (AFOs) and agricultural crop production are increasing the emissions of odor (e.g., organic acids) and trace gases (e.g., carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), NO_x, NH₃,

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 919 515 9688;
fax: +1 919 515 7802.

E-mail address: yang_zhang@ncsu.edu (Y. Zhang).

and H₂S) to the atmosphere (e.g., NRC, 2003; Aneja et al., 2006). Increases in the emissions of those agriculturally emitted compounds in the US and abroad and their adverse impacts on the quality of the air, water, soil, the biodiversity, and the entire agro-ecosystem have raised growing public and regulatory concerns. For example, atmospheric nitrogen deposition has been considered to be one of the top three causes for global biodiversity loss in this century following land use and climate change (Sala et al., 2000); and it will pose serious threat to biodiversity (Phoenix et al., 2006) and ecosystem (Sanderson et al., 2006). In addition, NH₃ likely plays an increased role in PM_{2.5} formation as the emissions of sulfur oxides (SO_x) and NO_x are reduced in the coming years and the promulgation of a more stringent 24-h average National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) of 35 µg m⁻³ by the US EPA for PM_{2.5}. Recognizing the growing needs in this research area, a number of governmental agencies such as the US Department of Agriculture (USDA), the National Science Foundation (NSF), the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the North Carolina Division of Air Quality (NCDAQ) and several universities and research organizations such as the North Carolina State University (NCSTU), Duke University, Purdue University, the Air and Waste Management Association (AWMA), and the Ecological Society of America co-sponsored the first workshop on agricultural air quality (WAAQ) in the US during June 3–8, 2006 to synthesize existing measurements and modeling results and identify emerging research needs for agricultural air quality (AAQ) (<http://www.esa.org/AirWorkshop>). As indicated at the WAAQ by the keynote speaker, Dr. Ralph Cicerone, the president of the US National Academy of Sciences, the research on agricultural air quality in the US is much behind compared with countries in Europe such as the Netherlands, Denmark, the UK, Scotland, and Spain.

Air quality models (AQMs) accounting for emissions, transport, transformation, and removal of air pollutants provide a powerful tool to simulate the fate, distributions, and impact of agriculturally emitted air pollutants. National Research Council has clearly identified a need for three-dimensional (3-D) transport/transformation models in providing scientific basis for the development of relevant mitigation strategies (NRC, 2003). In this paper, current status and major challenges associated with AAQ modeling are reviewed. The deficiencies and

uncertainties in current AQMs, model inputs, and measurements will be indicated along with recommendations regarding potential model improvements and data needs. Finally, the important implications of results from 3-D AQMs in developing relevant regulations and control strategies for agricultural air quality as well as future research opportunities for studying agriculture-related pollutants and their impacts on air quality, human health, and regional climate will be discussed.

2. Current status

The development of feasible regulations of air emissions from AFOs requires a scientific basis that is currently lacking due largely to inadequate funding from governmental agencies, little attention from scientific communities for AAQ research, and the fact that many producers do not want to report emissions from their operations because of the potential for increased scrutiny. The National Air Emissions Monitoring Study (NAEMS) has recently been established to measure emissions from all major types of AFOs including broiler systems, dairy farms, and swine/poultry houses and related manure storage facilities. Knowledge gaps and critical needs for agricultural air quality research have been recently identified by National Research Council (NRC, 2003) and the USDA Agricultural Air Quality Task Force (<http://www.airquality.nrcs.usda.gov/AAQTF/>). Significant uncertainties lie in nearly all aspects of research including the sparseness of monitoring stations and observational data of emissions, concentrations, and deposition fluxes, the lack of accurate emission inventories and reliable measurement methodologies, poorly-quantified health-effect associated with the AFOs-emitted species, and the needs for process-based emissions models and 3-D transport/transformation models to support regulation and policy-making.

2.1. Species of concern

Many species can be emitted from confined animal feeding operations and burning of crop residue (Aneja et al., 2006). Table 1 lists some major agriculturally-relevant reduced nitrogen and sulfur species that should be considered in AAQ modeling along with their reaction constants and lifetimes against oxidation reactions by the hydroxyl radical (OH). NH₃ is a major basic gas in the atmosphere and plays an important role in neutralizing acids.

Table 1

Important species to be considered in AAQ modeling, and their rate constants and lifetimes against OH reaction^a

Compound	Chemical name	Rate constant, k , ($T = 298\text{ K}$) $\text{cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$	Lifetime	References
NH ₃	Ammonia	1.6×10^{-13}	72.3 days	DeMore et al. (1997) Atkinson et al. (1997a, b)
CH ₃ NH ₂	Methylamine	2.2×10^{-11}	12.6 h	Atkinson (1989)
(CH ₃) ₂ NH	Dimethylamine	1.7×10^{-11} 6.5×10^{-11}	16.3 h 4.3 h	Carl and Crowley (1998) Atkinson (1989) Carl and Crowley (1998)
(CH ₃) ₃ N	Trimethylamine	6.1×10^{-11} 3.6×10^{-11}	4.6 h 7.7 h	Atkinson (1989) Carl and Crowley (1998)
C ₂ H ₅ NH ₂	Ethylamine	2.8×10^{-11} 2.4×10^{-11}	9.9 h 11.6 h	Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts (2000) Carl and Crowley (1998)
HCN	Hydrogen cyanide	3.4×10^{-14}	340.4 days	Atkinson (1989)
CH ₃ CN	Methyl cyanide	8.8×10^{-15}	2.2 yrs	Wine et al. (2002)
	(Acetonitrile)	2.6×10^{-14}	1.2 yrs	Hynes and Wine (1991)
(CH ₃) ₃ CNH ₂	<i>t</i> -Butylamine	1.2×10^{-11}	23.2 h	Koch et al. (1996)
CH ₃ NHC(O)CH ₃	<i>N</i> -methylacetamide	5.2×10^{-12}	53.4 h	Koch et al. (1997)
CH ₃ NHC(O)C ₂ H ₅	<i>N</i> -methylpropionamide	7.6×10^{-12}	36.6 h	Koch et al. (1997)
(CH ₃) ₂ NC(O)CH ₃	<i>N,N</i> -dimethyl acetamide	1.4×10^{-11}	19.8 h	Koch et al. (1997)
N ₂ O	Nitrous oxide	7.2×10^{-11}	54 days	Atkinson et al. (1997a)
CH ₄	Methane	6.3×10^{-15}	5.3 yrs	Atkinson et al. (1997a) Seinfeld and Pandis (1998)
CH ₃ SSCH ₃	Dimethyl disulfide	2.3×10^{-10}	1.2 h	Atkinson et al. (1997a)
CH ₃ SH	Methanethiol	3.3×10^{-11}	8.4 h	Atkinson et al. (1997a) Seinfeld and Pandis (1998)
H ₂ S	Hydrogen sulfur	4.8×10^{-12}	57.9 h	Atkinson et al. (1997a) Seinfeld and Pandis (1998)
CH ₃ SCH ₃	Dimethyl sulfide	5.0×10^{-12} 6.5×10^{-12}	55.6 h 42.7 h	Seinfeld and Pandis (1998) Atkinson et al. (1997a)
CS ₂	Carbon disulfide	4.7×10^{-12}	59.1 h	Atkinson et al. (1997a)
COS	Carbonyl sulfide	1.9×10^{-15} 2.0×10^{-15}	19.2 yrs 16.9 yrs	Seinfeld and Pandis (1998) Atkinson et al. (1997a)

^a A mixing ratio of 1.0×10^6 molecules cm^{-3} is assumed for OH in the calculation of lifetime for all species except N₂O, whose major sink is the oxidation by O(¹D). A mixing ratio of 3.0×10^3 molecules cm^{-3} is assumed for O(¹D) in the calculation of the lifetime of N₂O.

The overall lifetime for NH₃ is short (~0.9 day, Adams et al., 1999) because of its fast dry deposition rate to the surface. Its lifetime against the oxidation of OH, however, is relatively long (2–3 months). The typical mixing ratios range from 0.1 to 10 ppb (0.07–7 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) (Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998). Table 2 lists observed NH₃ concentrations on various surfaces, with the highest NH₃ mixing ratio of 34.8 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ occurring at an agricultural site near hog farm operation facilities in eastern NC. NH₃ oxidation contributes to about 6% of the total global NO_x emissions (Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998). Ammonium ion (NH₄⁺) can be formed once NH₃ is scavenged by and dissolved into cloud/rain/fog droplets. NH₄⁺ salts are a major component of PM_{2.5} which has important health and climatic

effects. They have a longer lifetime than NH₃ (e.g., 5 days for (NH₄)₂SO₄, IPCC, 2001) and can thus be transported in a long distance. Other reduced nitrogen species include cyanide compounds (e.g., hydrogen cyanide (HCN)), aliphatic and aromatic amines (e.g., methylamine (CH₃NH₂)). While the lifetime against OH for HCN is about a year, those for amines are 4–53 h. Cyanide is used in pesticides and rodenticides, silver and metal polishes, photographic and electroplating solutions, metallurgical processes and fumigating products. It is very toxic to humans; long-term inhalation exposure may cause central nervous system effects and may even cause death. CH₄ and N₂O are greenhouse gases that are included in the emission inventories of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

Table 2
Examples of NH₃ measurements on various surfaces

Concentrations ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$)	Compensation points	V_d (mm s^{-1})	F_d ($\text{ng m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) ^a	Surfaces	Periods/Conditions	Methods	References
2.5–4	Not reported	36	192.52	Coniferous forest in the Netherlands	April, 1988 to March 1990	Denuder and micrometeorological gradient method using a 36 m high tower	Duyzer et al. (1992)
1.5–5.04	3–4 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	16	–0.083 to 0.036 ^b	Mineral-fertilized wheat crop at a location about 50 km north of London	Spring 1991 to Spring 1992, at a height of 1 m, with T of 5.3–20.4 °C	Annular denuder system	Yamulki et al. (1996)
0–6	Not reported	Not reported	–50 to 40	Arable cropland	May, 1992, above a canopy (0.6–0.7 m tall) approaching anthesis	Continuous wet annular denuder	Sutton et al. (1998)
0.45	0.2 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	5.2–26.3	–8.1 to –3.5	Moorland vegetation in southern Scotland	February–August 1995, the height of V_d and F_d measurements is 1 m	Continuous wet annular denuder	Fowler et al. (1998)
5.2	Not reported	56–63	0 to –370	Dense deciduous and coniferous forest with a mean tree height of 20 m	November 1992–1994	Continuous-flow denuder	Wyers and Erisman (1998)
2.7 ± 1.7 (Baltimore) 1.0 ± 0.8 (Solomons) October 1998–September 1999 5.55 (mean) 0.12 (minimal) 34.8 (maximum)	Not reported	Not reported	–12.09 to 40.76 ^b	Water surface in the Chesapeake Bay in Baltimore and Solomons, MD	Rural and urban environments from March–December, 1997, and January–December, 1998	Filter packs and a honeycomb denuder/filter system	Larsen et al. (2001)

Table 2 (continued)

Concentrations ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$)	Compensation points	V_d (mm s^{-1})	F_d ($\text{ng m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) ^a	Surfaces	Periods/Conditions	Methods	References
January 1999–December 2000 5.6 ± 5.13	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	An agricultural site (Clinton) in eastern NC; 2.6 m above a short grass surface	October 1998–September 1999; January 1999–December 2000	Annular denuder system	Robarge et al. (2002); Walker et al. (2006a)
Daytime: 4.69 ± 4.75 (summer), 5.69 ± 4.71 (spring), 7.6 ± 6.54 (fall), 1.73 ± 2 (winter); Nighttime: 2.76 ± 2.43 (summer), 3.41 ± 2.62 (spring), 7.25 ± 6.14 (fall), 1.37 ± 1.50 (winter) 0.58 – 5.32	Not reported	39.4 ± 27.9 (summer daytime) 24.1 ± 19.2 (winter daytime) 7.6 ± 16.9 (summer nighttime) 0.7 ± 1.7 (fall nighttime)	-110 ± 140 (summer) -110 ± 150 (spring), -140 ± 190 (fall) -20 ± 30 (winter)	Natural surfaces near animal farms and waste storage and treatment lagoons, in Raleigh, NC, US	Fall 2001, winter, spring, and summer 2002 at two heights (2 and 6 m)	Thermo Environmental Instruments Inc. (TEI) Model 17 C, Chemiluminescence Ambient Ammonia Analyzers, micrometeorological gradient method Annular denuder system	Phillips et al. (2004)
Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	12 – 185 ^b (estimated based on the Carnegie Mellon University (CMU) NH_3 inventory V. 2.0)	Three sites in the Coastal Plain region of NC	January–December 2000 at Clinton May–December 2000 (Lenior) January–December 2000, Morehead City	Annular denuder system	Walker et al. (2004)
Not reported	$< 1.0 \text{ nmol NH}_3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ air			Canopies of pine, spruce, and aspen			Langford and Fehsenfeld (1992)
Not reported	$> 25 \text{ nmol NH}_3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ air			Senescing wheat plants			Morgan and Parton, (1989)
Not reported	0.44 – $4.1 \text{ nmol NH}_3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ air			Brassica napus plants	25°C , with N supply level of 0.05, 0.1, and $0.165 \text{ mol N plant}^{-1}$ during growth stages		Schjoerring et al. (1998)

^aNegative values represent deposition.

^bReported fluxes are converted from $\mu\text{g N m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ or $\mu\text{g N m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ or $\text{kg N km}^{-2} \text{yr}^{-1}$ to $\text{ng NH}_3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$.

(IPCC) (IPCC, 2001) because of their climatic impact. CH₄ and N₂O account for 14% and 4.2%, respectively, of the total global warming temperature change (Jacobson, 2002). Their overall lifetimes are ~10 and 120 yrs, respectively. Exposure to their typical levels has no harmful health effects, although CH₄ is a precursor for ozone (O₃). Major reduced sulfur compounds include H₂S, dimethyl disulfide (CH₃SSCH₃), methanethiol (CH₃SH), carbon disulfide (CS₂), and carbonyl sulfide (COS). The lifetime of COS is 17–19 yrs, whereas those of other species are 1–59 h. H₂S is a colorless, toxic, flammable gas with a foul odor of rotten eggs and flatulence. Exposure to elevated concentrations of H₂S (e.g., >0.067 ppm or 0.1 mg m⁻³) may cause a number of health effects including loss of sense of smell, eye irritation, fatigue, olfactory and respiratory paralysis, pulmonary edema, threat to life, immediate collapse, and death. The oxidation of those reduced compounds produces SO₂, which is a precursor for acid deposition and is associated with impaired lung function and other respiratory diseases. In addition to species listed in Table 1, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) can be emitted from agricultural sources. For example, over 70 VOCs have been identified from dairy farms in northern Sweden (Sunesson et al., 2001), with the highest concentrations of 10–100 μg m⁻³ for *p*-cresol, 2-butanone, ethylacetate, α -pinene, and Δ^3 -carene. Multiple organic compounds have also been identified in the manure samples and in the air from dairy farms in the US (Miller and Varel, 2001; Rabaud et al., 2003; Filipy et al., 2006). The major VOCs in the air include acids, alcohols, aldehydes, esters, ketones, halogenates, butylamine, acetic acid, DMSO, ethyl ether, methyl isobutyrate, amines, aromatic compounds, and terpenes. Those in the liquid phase include L-lactate, alcohols, volatile fatty acids (VFA), phenols, indoles, aromatics, and benzoates. VOCs are important precursors for tropospheric O₃. Many VOCs can cause serious health problems such as cancer and other health and environmental effects.

Current 3-D urban-to-regional AQMs are designed to simulate the sources, transport, chemical transformation, and removal of major criteria air pollutants such as SO₂, NO_x, O₃, and PM and its composition (e.g., sulfate (SO₄²⁻), nitrate (NO₃⁻), ammonium (NH₄⁺)), and the important gaseous precursors of O₃ and PM such as VOCs. Those AQMs do not treat N₂O, H₂S, and most other reduced sulfur and nitrogen compounds. NH₃ is

typically considered, but large uncertainties remain in emission inventories, chemistry, deposition, and dynamic treatments. The important processes of NH₃/NH₄⁺ simulated in those AQMs include advection, diffusion, aerosol thermodynamics and dynamics (e.g., thermodynamic equilibrium reactions, gas/particle partitioning, nucleation, condensation/evaporation, and coagulation), dry deposition, the dissolution in cloud droplets and rain water, and subsequent scavenging and wet deposition (e.g., Zhang et al., 2004, 2006a).

2.2. Emissions

In the past decade, a number of studies have been focused on the measurements of emissions, concentrations, and deposition of agriculturally-generated NH₃ (e.g., Fowler et al., 1998; Aneja et al., 1998; Robarge et al., 2002; Phillips et al., 2004; Walker et al., 2002, 2004), N₂O (e.g., Walker et al., 2002), VOCs (e.g., Filipy et al., 2006), NH₄⁺ (e.g., Aneja et al., 1998; Robarge et al., 2002; Walker et al., 2004), and PM_{2.5} (Walker et al., 2006a). Major sources for NH₃ include livestock and fertilizer, accounting for 80–95% and 3–21% of total NH₃ emissions, respectively, in Europe (Van Der Hoek, 1998) and 55% and 7–9.5% of total NH₃ emissions, respectively, in the US (Battye et al., 1994), and 42.7% and 12% of total NH₃ emissions, respectively, on a global scale (Pacyna and Graedel, 1995). Other sources include soil, industry, on-road vehicles, waste disposal and recycling, humans, domestic and wild animals, forest fires, and slash burnings. N₂O is generated by biogenic (e.g., natural soils and oceans) and anthropogenic (e.g., fertilized fields, animal nitrogen excretion, fossil fuel combustion, traffic, and some industrial activities) sources. Agriculturally-emitted N₂O emissions account for 59% of total N₂O emissions globally (Duxbury et al., 1993). The principal agricultural sources include soil nitrogen, animal waste management systems, and nitrogen lost to the agricultural system. Major sources for CH₄ include natural wetlands, animals, rice cultivation, and biomass burning, accounting for 19.1–26%, 15.3–18.1%, 7.6–15.8%, 8.6–10.2% of global total CH₄ emissions (Pacyna and Graedel, 1995). The emission rates of VOCs from agricultural activities have seldom been measured; their percent contributions to total emissions of VOCs therefore remain uncharacterized.

Emission inventories of several agriculturally-emitted species such as NH₃ and N₂O have also

Table 3
Examples of emission inventories available for AAQ modeling

Species	Domains	Period	Grid resolutions	Methods	Source Categories	References
NH ₃	UK	1993	Total non-gridded UK emissions and source-specific	Single constant emission factor for each source for UK	Agriculture	Pain et al. (1998)
NH ₃	Greater Athens, Greece	1996	4 × 4 km ²	Single constant emission factor for each source except fertilizers whose emissions are only considered in spring.	Agriculture	Sotiropoulou et al. (2004)
NH ₃	UK	1997	Total non-gridded; source-specific	Emission factors with seasonal variation and dependence on manure management practice	Agriculture	Misselbrook et al. (2000)
NH ₃	Denmark	1996	Gridded at 5 × 5 km ²	Emission factors with seasonal variation and dependence on manure management practice	Livestock, commercial fertilizers, crops, sewage sludge and NH ₃ -related straw	Hutchings et al. (2001)
NH ₃	Ireland	1991, 2010	Total non-gridded emissions and source-specific	Single constant emission factors for each source accounting for the dependence on manure management practice	Agriculture	Hyde et al. (2003)
NH ₃	Denmark	1999–2001	16.67 × 16.67 km ²	Dynamical emission parameterization with continuous gauss or temperature-dependent emission functions for specific agricultural activities under various climatic conditions and inter-year variations	Agriculture, road traffic	Skjøth et al. (2004)
NH ₃	North Carolina and the San Joaquin Valley (SJV) of California	1997	County-level	Empirical source-specific emission factors with seasonal variations, but each manure management specific emission factor is uniform over the inventory domain	Animal housing, grazing, manure storage, and land spreading for livestock and fertilizer. Soils, vegetation, non-agricultural sources; mobile sources, industrial and combustion sources, sewage treatment plants; human breath & sweat, cigarette smoking, infant diapers, and pets	Battye et al. (2003)
NH ₃ NH ₃	US Contiguous US	1999 Annual	County-level County-level	Single constant emission factor for each source The use of a process-based, temporally resolved emission model to calculate monthly and county-level emission factors based on climate conditions (temperature, wind speed, and precipitation) and farming practices; seasonal and geographic variation	Agriculture and non- agriculture Dairy cows	USEPA (2002) Pinder et al. (2004a, b)
NH ₃	Contiguous US	1995	County-level	Monthly, county-level activity levels and emission factors that account for types of fertilizers	Commercial fertilizer application (excluding organic fertilizers such as manure)	Goebes et al. (2003)
NH ₃	Eastern US	1990	County-level	Kalman filter inverse modeling to estimate monthly NH ₃ emissions	All major sources	Gilliland et al. (2003)
N ₂ O	UK	1996	Total non-gridded UK emissions and source-specific emissions	Experimentally-determined emission factors; single constant emission factor for each source for UK	Farmed livestock	Chadwick et al. (1999)
N ₂ O	UK	1990, 1995	Total non-gridded UK emissions and source-specific emissions	Using IPCC emission methodology and default source-specific emission factors	Agriculture	Brown et al. (2001)
N ₂ O	Japan, Europe	Non-year specific	Total global non-gridded emissions	Literature review	Nitrogen leaching	Sawamoto et al. (2005)

been developed for model simulation and evaluation (e.g., Pain et al., 1998; Brink et al., 2001; Hutchings et al., 2001; Hyde et al., 2003; Goebes et al., 2003; Pinder et al., 2004 a, b). Table 3 lists some emission inventories developed in recent years. Many of them are developed with single constant emission factor (e.g., Battye et al., 1994; USEPA, 2002) or emission factors accounting for seasonal variation and dependence on manure management practice (e.g., Misselbrook et al., 2000; Hutchings et al., 2001; Hyde et al., 2003; Battye et al., 2003). The most advanced approaches involve the use of a process-based, temporally-resolved emission model based on climate conditions and farming practices (e.g., Pinder et al., 2004a, b), Kalman filter inverse modeling to estimate monthly NH_3 emissions (e.g., Gilliland et al., 2003), or dynamical emission parameterization with continuous gauss or temperature-dependent emission functions for specific agricultural activities (Skjøth et al., 2004).

Compared with emissions of criteria pollutants, NH_3 emission inventories are highly uncertain, because of inaccuracies in the activity data used, the use of uniform emission factors, inadequate temporal and spatial resolutions, inconsistent and/or incomplete source categories, and differences in the methods used. For example, the NH_3 emission inventories accounting for seasonal variabilities may differ by 25% from those that do not account for seasonal variability (Zhang et al., 2006b). The NH_3 emission inventories accounting for climate conditions and farming practices (e.g., the Carnegie Mellon University (CMU) national NH_3 emission inventories, Pinder et al., 2004a, b) may differ from other NH_3 inventories by 23–48% (Hu et al., 2007). NH_3 emission inventories have been identified as one of the major uncertainties in simulating $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ in AQMs. Their accurate characterization remains a major challenge in AAQ modeling.

2.3. Atmospheric transport

Major atmospheric transport processes such as advection, horizontal/vertical diffusion, and turbulent mixing for NH_3 are treated similarly to other gaseous species in AQMs. Typical schemes, algorithms, and parameterizations used in major 3-D AQMs are summarized in Russell and Dennis (2000), Seaman (2000) and Arya (2003). The typical Eulerian AQMs allow point source emissions to be mixed uniformly and instantly into the entire volume of a grid that generally has a scale of

20–30 km or greater; this treatment, however, does not represent the diffusion-limited nature of the plume that takes several hours to grow to typical size of the grid cell used in a regional AQM. The plume-in-grid (PiG) module enables a subgrid treatment that adequately describes the real-world pollutant plume. PiG treatments are only included in a few AQMs such as the US EPA Community Multiscale Air Quality modeling system (CMAQ) and the Comprehensive Air Quality Model with extensions (CAMx) (ENVIRON, 2004; Binkowski and Roselle, 2003). Karamchandani et al. (2006) compared simulations with and without PiG for the northeastern and southeastern US, and found that the PiG treatment leads to significant differences in surface concentrations of HNO_3 , SO_4^{2-} , and NO_3^- on a regional scale.

During the massive release of NH_3 and H_2S at animal production facilities, plumes may remain a subgrid scale feature and require PiG treatments. While the existing PiG module may be applied to simulate the short-range dispersion of NH_3 , it may not be applicable for buoyant plume rise of NH_3 and H_2S , as it is developed for plume rise from power plant stacks that are much higher than animal-rearing facilities. The appropriateness of current buoyant plume rise scheme used in AQMs under different meteorological conditions (e.g., stable, neutral, unstable) must be reexamined for AAQ modeling. Another distinct feature of NH_x transport is that it is characterized by the short-range dispersion of NH_3 and long-range transport of NH_4^+ . Very few models can accurately simulate both. In addition, the NH_3 emissions from manure and fertilizer are a function of the atmospheric turbulence and temperature; this dependence is not typically included in 3-D AQMs. Representing those processes in 3-D AQMs poses significant challenges for AAQ modeling. In addition, simulated NH_x transport is affected by inaccuracies in simulated meteorological variables such as temperature and wind field.

2.4. Chemistry, thermodynamics, and dynamics

While the atmospheric chemistry of reduced nitrogen and sulfur compounds has been extensively studied during the past three decades, no existing chemical mechanism has been developed for its incorporation into 3-D AQMs. Table 4 lists some atmospheric reactions that may be necessary to accurately simulate their chemical transformation

Table 4
Potentially important reactions involving reduced nitrogen and sulfur species

No.	Reactions	References
<i>Reduced nitrogen compounds</i>		
R1	$\text{NH}_3(\text{g}) + \text{OH}(\text{g}) \rightarrow \text{NH}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	DeMore et al. (1997), Atkinson et al. (1997a, b), Seinfeld and Pandis (1998)
R2	$\text{NH}_2(\text{g}) + \text{O}_2(\text{g}) \rightarrow \text{NH}_2\text{O}_2(\text{g})$ $\rightarrow \text{NO}(\text{g}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{g})$ $\rightarrow \text{OH}(\text{g}) + \text{HNO}(\text{g})$	Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts (2000)
R3	$\text{NH}_2(\text{g}) + \text{NO}(\text{g}) \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{H}(\text{g}) + \text{OH}(\text{g})$ $\rightarrow \text{N}_2(\text{g}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{g})$	Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts (2000)
R4	$\text{NH}_2(\text{g}) + \text{NO}_2(\text{g}) \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}(\text{g}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{g})$ $\rightarrow \text{NH}_2\text{O}(\text{g}) + \text{NO}(\text{g})$	Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts (2000)
R5	$\text{NH}_2(\text{g}) + \text{O}_3(\text{g}) \rightarrow \text{Products}$	Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts (2000)
R6	$2\text{NH}_3(\text{g}) + 4\text{O}_3(\text{g}) \rightarrow 2\text{HNO}_3(\text{g}) + 2\text{O}_2(\text{g}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{g})$ (lower NH_3 and HNO_3) $\rightarrow \text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3(\text{s}) + 4\text{O}_2(\text{g}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{g})$ (higher NH_3 and HNO_3)	Renard et al. (2004) and references therein
R7	$\text{NH}_3(\text{g}) + \text{SO}_2(\text{g}) \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_3\text{SO}_2(\text{g})$	Becke-Goehring (1960), Meyer et al. (1980)
R8	$2\text{NH}_3(\text{g}) + \text{SO}_2(\text{g}) \leftrightarrow (\text{NH}_3)_2\text{SO}_2(\text{g})$	Landreth et al. (1974)
R9	$2\text{NH}_3(\text{g}) + \text{SO}_2(\text{g}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{g}) \leftrightarrow (\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_3(\text{s})$	Landreth et al. (1974)
R10	$\text{NH}_3(\text{g}) + \text{SO}_3(\text{g}) \rightarrow {}^+\text{H}_3\text{N}-\text{SO}_3\text{H}^-(\text{g}) \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{N}-\text{SO}_3\text{H}(\text{s})$ (low RH conditions) $\rightarrow (\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4(\text{s})$ (high RH conditions)	Canagaratna et al. (1996), Lovejoy (1997)
R11	$2\text{NH}_3(\text{g}) + \text{CH}_3\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{ONO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{NH}_4\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2(\text{s}) + \text{NH}_4\text{NO}_2(\text{s}) + 0.5\text{O}_2$	Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts (1986)
R12	$\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_2 + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{Products}$	Atkinson (1989), Carl and Crowley (1998)
R13	$(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{NH} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{Products}$	Atkinson (1989), Carl and Crowley (1998)
R14	$(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{N} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{Products}$	Atkinson (1989), Carl and Crowley (1998)
R15	$\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{NH}_2 + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{Products}$	Carl and Crowley (1998), Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts (2000)
R16	$\text{HCN} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CN} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	Atkinson (1989)
R17	$\text{CH}_3\text{CN} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{CN} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	Hynes and Wine (1991), Seinfeld and Pandis (1998)
R18	$(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{CNH}_2 + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{Products}$	Koch et al. (1996)
R19	$\text{CH}_3\text{NHC}(\text{O})\text{CH}_3 + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{Products}$	Koch et al. (1997)
R20	$\text{CH}_3\text{NHC}(\text{O})\text{C}_2\text{H}_5 + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{Products}$	Koch et al. (1997)
R21	$(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{NC}(\text{O})\text{CH}_3 + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{Products}$	Koch et al. (1997)
R22	$(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{NH} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{N} = \text{CH}_2 + \text{CH}_3\text{NO}_2 + \text{CH}_3\text{NHCO} + \text{HCHO} + \text{CO}_2$	Tuazon et al. (1984), Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts (2000)
R23	$2(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{NH} + \text{HCHO} \rightarrow (\text{CH}_3)_2\text{NCH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	Tuazon et al. (1984), Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts (2000)
R24	$(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{NCH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_2 + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow (\text{CH}_3)_2\text{NCHO}$	Tuazon et al. (1984), Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts (2000)
R25	$(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{N} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{NO}_2 + \text{HCHO} + \text{CO}_2$	Tuazon et al. (1984), Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts (2000)
R26	$\text{NH}_3(\text{g}) + \text{HNO}_3(\text{g}) \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3(\text{s})$	Seinfeld and Pandis (1998), Jacobson (2005)
R27	$\text{NH}_3(\text{g}) + \text{HNO}_3(\text{g}) \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_4^+(\text{l}) + \text{NO}_3^-(\text{l})$	Seinfeld and Pandis (1998), Jacobson (2005)
R28	$\text{NH}_3(\text{g}) + \text{HCl}(\text{g}) \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_4\text{Cl}(\text{s})$	Seinfeld and Pandis (1998), Jacobson (2005)
R29	$\text{NH}_3(\text{g}) + \text{HCl}(\text{g}) \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_4^+(\text{l}) + \text{Cl}^-(\text{l})$	Seinfeld and Pandis (1998), Jacobson (2005)
R30	$\text{NH}_3(\text{g}) \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_3(\text{l})$	Clegg and Brimblecombe (1989)
R31	$\text{NH}_3(\text{l}) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_4^+(\text{l}) + \text{OH}^-$	Clegg and Brimblecombe (1989)
R32	$\text{NH}_3(\text{l}) + \text{H}^+ \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_4^+(\text{l})$ (for low pH conditions)	Emerson et al. (1960)

Table 4 (continued)

No.	Reactions	References
R33	$(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4(\text{s}) \leftrightarrow 2 \text{NH}_4^+(\text{l}) + \text{SO}_4^{2-}$	Seinfeld and Pandis (1998), Jacobson (2005)
R34	$\text{NH}_4\text{HSO}_4(\text{s}) \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_4^+(\text{l}) + \text{HSO}_4^-$	Jacobson (2005)
R35	$(\text{NH}_4)_3\text{H}(\text{SO}_4)_2(\text{s}) \leftrightarrow 3 \text{NH}_4^+(\text{l}) + \text{HSO}_4^- + \text{SO}_4^{2-}$	Jacobson (2005)
R36	$\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3(\text{s}) \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_4^+(\text{l}) + \text{NO}_3^-(\text{l})$	Seinfeld and Pandis (1998), Jacobson (2005)
R37	$\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}(\text{s}) \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_4^+(\text{l}) + \text{Cl}^-(\text{l})$	Jacobson (2005)
R38	$\text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3(\text{s}) \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_4^+ + \text{HCO}_3^-$	Jacobson (2005)
R39	$\text{NH}_3(\text{l}) + \text{CO}_2(\text{l}) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_4^+(\text{l}) + \text{HCO}_3^-(\text{l})$	Renard et al. (2004) and references therein
R40	$\text{NH}_3(\text{l}) + \text{NH}_4^+(\text{l}) + \text{HCO}_3^-(\text{l}) \leftrightarrow 2 \text{NH}_4^+(\text{l}) + \text{CO}_3^{2-}(\text{l})$	Renard et al. (2004) and references therein
R41	$\text{NH}_3(\text{l}) + \text{HCO}_3^-(\text{l}) \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_2\text{COO}^-(\text{l}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	Renard et al. (2004) and references therein
<i>Reduced sulfur compounds</i>		
R42	$\text{H}_2\text{S} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{SH} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	Seinfeld and Pandis (1998)
R43	$\text{CH}_3\text{SCH}_3 + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{SCH}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ $\text{CH}_3\text{SCH}_3 + \text{OH} + \text{M} \leftrightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{S}(\text{OH})\text{CH}_3 + \text{M}$	Atkinson et al. (1997a), Seinfeld and Pandis (1998)
R44	$\text{CS}_2 + \text{OH} + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{HOS}_2 + \text{M}$	Atkinson et al. (1997a), Seinfeld and Pandis (1998)
R45	$\text{COS} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{HS}$	Atkinson et al. (1997a), Seinfeld and Pandis (1998)
R46	$\text{COS} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{SO}$	Seinfeld and Pandis (1998)
R47	$\text{CH}_3\text{SSCH}_3 + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{S}(\text{OH})\text{SCH}_3$ $\rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{SOH} + \text{CH}_3\text{S}$	Yin et al. (1990), Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts (2000)
R48	$\text{CH}_3\text{SH} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{S}(\text{OH})\text{H}$ $\rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{S} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	Seinfeld and Pandis (1998), Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts (2000)

and evolution. While the oxidation reactions of NH_3 , HCN , CH_3CN , and COS by OH in the gas-phase (R1, R16, R17, R45, and R46) are slow at typical ambient concentrations (e.g., < a few ppbs of NH_3), other reactions may occur in the gas-, aqueous-, and particulate-phase with a non-negligible or sometimes rapid rate under conditions of excess NH_3 and H_2S that may be experienced over agricultural regions. For example, the reaction of NH_3 with O_3 (R6) may initially produce $\text{HNO}_3(\text{g})$ and proceed rapidly when the concentrations of $\text{NH}_3(\text{g})$ and $\text{HNO}_3(\text{g})$ are high to form crystalline $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3(\text{s})$ (Renard et al., 2004). The oxidation of SO_2 by NH_3 (R7–R9) to form SO_3 can be completed in less than 5 min under normal ambient conditions (Renard et al., 2004). SO_3 can be neutralized rapidly by NH_3 to form crystalline particle of sulfamic acid ($\text{H}_2\text{N}-\text{SO}_3\text{H}$) under low RHs and to form $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ under high RHs (R10). NH_3 may also react with peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN), a major photochemical product, in an urban environment such as California with a massive release of NH_3 (R11) (Renard et al., 2004). Other alkyl amines such

as CH_3NH_2 , $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{NH}$, $(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{N}$, and $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{NH}_2$ can also react with OH (R12–15 and R18–R21) and O_3 (R22, R24, R25) under typical, moderately-polluted conditions (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 2000). NH_3 can directly react with HNO_3 and HCl in the gas phase to yield $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3(\text{s})$, $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}(\text{s})$, or aqueous NH_4^+ , NO_3^- , and Cl^- , which are in equilibrium with their gaseous precursors via reactions R26–29. NH_3 is a soluble species with a Henry's law coefficient of 62 M atm^{-1} (Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998) (R30). Dissolved $\text{NH}_3(\text{l})$ can dissociate into NH_4^+ (R31–R32), which can react with other anions such as SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- , Cl^- , and HCO_3^- to form solid ammonium sulfates, nitrates, chlorides, and carbonates via (R33–R38); those salts can also dissociate back to their ionic forms and potentially “outgas” NH_3 under certain ambient conditions (e.g., when ambient NH_3 concentration is very small, Wells et al., 1998). Reactions R26–R38 are the most important equilibrium reactions for gas/particle partitioning and the formation of NH_4^+ salts in the atmosphere. Once formed, those particles can be activated by cloud

droplets to form cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), which will affect the earth radiation budget and climate through cloud formation, lifetime, and precipitation. Major reduced sulfur compounds can also be oxidized by atmospheric oxidants such as OH and O via R42–R48. Those reactions will ultimately produce sulfate via increased SO₂. Aqueous-phase chemistry of NH₃ may also provide a mechanism for reduced nitrogen to re-partition from larger particles to small particles, thus forming new particles in ultra-fine mode, which may provide a plausible explanation of observed formation of ultra-fine mode particles associated with orographic cloud during nighttime (Wells et al., 1998).

All gaseous reactions (i.e., R1–R25) are currently not treated in AQMs. Only a few heterogeneous or aqueous reactions have been included in aerosol thermodynamic modules used in AQMs. For example, R26, R28, R30, R31, and R33–R35 are treated in the aerosol thermodynamic module in CMAQ, ISORROPIA (Nenes et al., 1999), and R26–31 and R33–38 are included in an alternative module in the North Carolina State University (NCSU)'s version of CMAQ, EQUISOLV II (Jacobson, 2005). Those missing reactions represent major model deficiencies in representing of chemistry of reduced nitrogen and sulfur species. An appropriate chemical mechanism that includes important reactions in all phases must be developed and incorporated into AQMs. Ansari and Pandis (1999) and Zhang et al. (2000) provide comparative reviews of several thermodynamic modules that are currently used in major AQMs. They differ in terms of species and equilibrium reactions included, assumptions made, activities calculated, and numerical methods used to solve equations. The gas/particle partitioning of NH₃/NH₄⁺ is studied using both 3-D AQMs and several aerosol thermodynamic modules to understand the formation of ammonium salts under various meteorological and chemical conditions (Blanchard and Hidy, 2003; Wang et al., 2006; Vayenas et al., 2005).

Reduced nitrogen and sulfur compounds and their particulate forms can undergo a number of dynamic processes including gas/particle mass transfer, nucleation, coagulation, and condensation/evaporation. Modules for each of those processes that are currently used in 3-D AQMs are reviewed in Zhang et al. (1999, 2004). Growing evidence has shown that NH₃ may play an important role in new particle formation through ternary nucleation involving sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄),

water vapor (H₂O), and NH₃ (e.g., Coffman and Hegg, 1995; Weber et al., 1997). This process has recently be incorporated into CMAQ and compared with several binary nucleation parameterization (Zhang et al., 2005; Zhang and Jacobson, 2005), although it has not yet been included in most other AQMs.

Table 5 summarizes model treatments of gas-phase chemical mechanism, aqueous-phase chemistry, aerosol treatments, dry and wet deposition, PiG treatments and special treatments for NH_x included in several representative AQMs that have been applied for NH_x simulations including the a statistical, long-term model TREND, the High Resolution Limited Area Model (HIRLAM)/EMEP the meteorological synthesizing centre-west (MSC-W) unified Eulerian model, CMAQ, the modified US EPA Regional Acid Deposition Model (RADM), and CAMx.

2.5. Removal

NH_x can be removed by dry and wet deposition processes in the atmosphere, which can contribute to terrestrial acidification and eutrophication. Similar to other species, dry deposition of NH₃ is simulated in AQMs based on the classic resistance theory of Wesely (1989). Three resistances are considered in this theory: aerodynamic resistance (R_a), quasi-laminar resistance (R_b), and surface or canopy resistance (R_c), among which the canopy resistance is the most difficult one to simulate, because (1) it consists of several resistances that vary highly with the type of ground or water surfaces, R_{cg} or R_{cw} , (e.g., heathland, moorland, meadow, forest, sea water), plants (e.g., oak vs. spruce) and tissues on or inside leaf pores (e.g., cuticular resistance, R_{cut} , vs. stomatal resistance, R_s) as well as many other physical and chemical conditions such as light, temperature, and solubility of the gas; (2) it lacks canopy-scale measurements to verify the model results. Different from most gases that are consistently deposited, the NH₃ fluxes over fertilized agricultural lands and grazed grasslands are bi-directional, with both deposition and emission occurring in parallel (Sutton et al., 1994, 1998). Farquhar et al. (1980) introduced a so-called NH₃ compensation point (χ) to determine the direction of the fluxes. Emission occurs when the ambient concentration of NH₃ is below χ , otherwise deposition occurs. The value of χ depends on many physiological, climatic, and environmental factors

Table 5
Examples of model treatments for simulating agriculturally-emitted species

Models	TREND	The HIRLAM/EMEP MSC-W Unified Eulerian model, v2.0	CMAQ	CAMx	RADM
Gas-phase chemistry	None	140 reactions among 70 species based	RADM2 ^a , 158 reactions among 57 species CBM-IV ^a , 93 reactions among 36 species SAPRC99, 214 reactions among 72 species	CBM-IV ² SAPRC99 ^a	RADM2 ^a , 158 reactions among 57 species
Aqueous-phase chemistry	None	Aqueous oxidation of SO ₂ by O ₃ , H ₂ O ₂ , and O ₂ catalyzed by Fe ³⁺ and Mn ²⁺ ; no aqueous nitrate formation	Aqueous oxidation of SO ₂ by O ₃ , H ₂ O ₂ , and O ₂ catalyzed by Fe ³⁺ and Mn ²⁺ , CH ₃ OOH and CH ₃ C(O)OOH; no aqueous nitrate kinetic reactions	Same as CMAQ	Same as CMAQ
Aerosol treatments	A constant NH ₃ to NH ₄ ⁺ conversion rate of $8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$.	EQSAM thermodynamic module; nucleation, condensation/volatilization and coagulation for SO ₄ ²⁻ , NO ₃ ⁻ , NH ₄ ⁺ , BC, OC, mineral dust and sea-salt; modal size representation (4 modes)	ISORROPIA thermodynamic module; Secondary aerosol formation/partitioning, nucleation, condensation/volatilization and coagulation for SO ₄ ²⁻ , NO ₃ ⁻ , NH ₄ ⁺ , BC, OC, and sea-salt; modal size representation (3 modes)	ISORROPIA thermodynamic module; Secondary aerosol formation/partitioning, nucleation, condensation/volatilization and coagulation for SO ₄ ²⁻ , NO ₃ ⁻ , NH ₄ ⁺ , BC, OC, and sea-salt; Sectional (10-section) or modal size representation (2 modes)	MARS-A thermodynamic module, no dynamic treatments, bulk phase treatment for SO ₄ ²⁻ , NO ₃ ⁻ , and NH ₄ ⁺ .
Dry deposition	Resistance transfer approach of Wesely (1989) for NH ₃ only with a constant surface resistance of 30 s m ⁻¹ . A constant surface resistance of 600 s m ⁻¹ for NH ₄ ⁺	Resistance transfer approach of Wesely (1989) for gases and particles. The wet diameters are used to calculate V _d for particles in each mode.	Resistance transfer approach of Wesely (1989) for gases and particles. mode-averaged V _d for particles is calculated for the total particle number, surface, and volume of each mode, no V _d is computed for individual PM species such as NH ₄ ⁺ , SO ₄ ⁺ , and NO ₃ ⁻	Resistance transfer approach of Wesely (1989) for gases and that of Slinn and Slinn (1980) for particles.	Resistance transfer approach of Wesely (1989) for gases and particles; V _d of NH ₄ ⁺ and NO ₃ ⁻ are assumed to be the same as SO ₄ ²⁻
Wet deposition	In-cloud and sub-cloud scavenging of NH ₃ and NH ₄ ⁺ , with the same scavenging coefficient for both NH ₃ and NH ₄ ⁺ .	In-cloud and sub-cloud scavenging of gases and particles; complete scavenging for accumulation and coarse mode particles; parameterization for Aitken nuclei mode particles; mode-dependent particle in-cloud scavenging ratio and sub-cloud collection efficiency	Henry's law equilibrium for gases; complete scavenging for accumulation and coarse mode particles; parameterization for Aitken nuclei mode particles	Henry's law equilibrium for gases; in-cloud and sub-cloud scavenging of gases and particles	Henry's law equilibrium for gases; complete scavenging for particles
Plume-in-grid treatment	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
Special treatments for NH _x	Overall highly-simplified treatments to allow for long-term simulations	Scaled stomatal resistance of NH ₃ based on that of O ₃ . Parameterized non-stomatal deposition of NH ₃ as a function of temperature, humidity, and molar SO ₂ /NH ₃	An empirical equation is used to compute the cuticular resistance for NH ₃ .	Not reported	Modified NH ₃ dry deposition calculation; add MARS-A to treat conversion of NH ₃ to NH ₄ ⁺
Example applications	Asman and van Jaarsveld, 1992, Lekkerkerk, 1998	Jonson et al., 1998, Simpson et al., 2006	Sakurai et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2005, 2007; Hu et al., 2007	Pavlovic et al., 2006	Mathur and Dennis, 2003

^aRADM2—the Regional Acid Deposition Model version 2; CBM-IV—the Carbon-Bond Mechanism, version IV; SAPRC99—the Statewide Air Pollution Research Center gas-phase mechanism.

such as types of surface, ambient temperature, RH, plant growth stages, and N supply level. It ranges from below $1 \text{ nmol NH}_3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ air in canopies of pine, spruce, and aspen (Langford and Fehsenfeld, 1992) to over $25 \text{ nmol NH}_3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ air in spring wheat plants (Morgan and Parton, 1989). Table 2 lists the measured χ on some surfaces. Several methods have also been developed to predict χ under given ambient conditions including the use of apoplastic pH and NH_4^+ concentration (Schjøerring et al., 1998).

While the default dry deposition module may be appropriate for low nitrogen systems such as unfertilized heathland, moorland, forest canopies, and sea/lake surfaces where the exchange is generally uni-directional, it cannot simulate the bi-directional exchange observed over fertilized agricultural croplands and grazed grasslands. A dry deposition scheme for bi-directional exchange is therefore needed to accurately represent the fluxes of NH_3 in AQMs. This bi-directional feature poses challenges to traditional dry deposition models which are based on either one-directional (no emissions, e.g., Wesely, 1989) or a simple bi-directional exchange via a stomata or canopy resistance to deposition (e.g., Farquhar et al., 1980; Sutton et al., 1993). More advanced resistance models accounting for capacitance of leaf surfaces have been developed to simulate the bi-directional NH_3 surface–atmosphere exchange (e.g., Sutton et al., 1998; Nemitz et al., 2001). In the model of Nemitz et al. (2001), the canopy-scale flux is determined by the difference between the ambient NH_3 concentration and the canopy NH_3 compensation point, which is determined by the competing processes of deposition to the leaf cuticle, bi-directional stomatal exchange (i.e., stomatal compensation point), and bi-directional exchange with soil (i.e., soil compensation point).

Another difficulty in simulating dry deposition of NH_3 lies in the fact that there is a general paucity of dry deposition velocity, V_d , and flux, F_d , measurements for model verification. Since the first measurements of V_d , and F_d of NH_3 and NH_4^+ by Dabney and Bouldin (1985) and Duyzer et al. (1987), a number of field studies have been conducted (e.g., Sutton et al., 1993, 1998; Aneja et al., 1998; Phillips et al., 2004; Walker et al., 2006b). A comprehensive review of measurements of dry deposition of reduced nitrogen conducted before 1993 is given in Sutton et al. (1994). Some recent measurements are listed in Table 2. Those

studies report V_d values for NH_3 of $0.07\text{--}6.3 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ with maximum velocities typically occurring during unstable, daytime conditions. Weekly-average dry deposition data are routinely collected through the US EPA Clean Air Status and Trends Network (CASTNet), which measures V_d and F_d of HNO_3 , SO_2 , NH_4^+ , SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- , Cl^- , Na^+ , K^+ , Ca^+ , and Mg^{2+} at various locations in the US. Krishnan et al. (2006) conducted a preliminary evaluation of dry deposition module in CMAQ at a 4-km resolution with limited observational data. They also found that the V_d and F_d are sensitive to parameters used in the calculation of R_{cut} and canopy wetness content. Some flux measurements have also been used to determine the corresponding compensation point (e.g., Fowler et al., 1998). Lack of detailed data on terrain characteristics and land use at a fine scale also introduces large uncertainties in stimulating dry deposition of NH_x .

Wet deposition of NH_x may be more important in areas with low NH_3 emissions and high precipitations. Both below- and in-cloud scavenging are commonly included in AQMs. The below-cloud scavenging coefficient is calculated as a function of precipitation at a fixed temperature in early work (e.g., Asman and Janssen, 1987; Galperin and Sofiev, 1998). The in-cloud scavenging coefficient of NH_x is calculated as a function of precipitation and the height of the mixing layer (e.g., Asman and Janssen, 1987). Wet scavenging schemes commonly used in AQMs are summarized in Guelle et al. (1998) and Russell and Dennis (2000). The wet deposition of NH_x has been estimated for several regions including Germany (e.g., Asman and van Jaarsveld, 1992), UK (Metcalf et al., 1998), the eastern US (e.g., Mathur and Dennis, 2003), and the southeastern US (e.g., Queen et al., 2006). 3-D simulations are also conducted to study the sensitivity of predicted precipitation and wet deposition amounts of NH_x to cloud microphysics treatments (Queen et al., 2006).

2.6. Model application and evaluation

While the study of ammonium nitrate (NH_4NO_3) with 0–3-D models as one of the modeling species can be traced back to the early 1980s (e.g., Russell et al., 1983), modeling studies focusing on the fate and transport of agriculturally-emitted pollutants such as NH_3 and NH_4^+ are sparse on all scales, as compared with criteria air pollutants such as SO_x , NO_x , O_3 , and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$. 1–3-D Lagrangian models

have been used in most modeling studies on agriculturally-emitted pollutants at a grid resolution of 16×16 – $150 \times 150 \text{ km}^2$ (e.g., Russell et al., 1983; Asman and Janssen, 1987; Metcalfe et al., 1998; Erisman et al., 1998; Skj oth et al., 2004). Asman and Janssen (1987) conducted the first modeling of atmospheric NH_x ($= \text{NH}_3 + \text{NH}_4^+$) transport in Europe, while Russell et al. (1983) reported one of the first modeling studies on the formation and transport of NH_4NO_3 in the US. Very few NH_x modeling studies are conducted using the Eulerian framework, which is generally more computationally expensive than Lagrangian models. These 3-D Eulerian modeling studies have been conducted on a global scale or a large continental scale at a relatively-coarse resolution from $150 \times 150 \text{ km}^2$ to $5^\circ \times 3.5^\circ$ (e.g., Dentener and Crutzen, 1994; Galperin and Sofiev, 1998; Adams et al., 1999; Rodhe et al., 2002; Sanderson et al., 2006). Urban-to-regional simulations using 3-D Eulerian or Lagrangian chemistry and transport models at finer resolutions of 4×4 – $80 \times 80 \text{ km}^2$ have also been performed but focused primarily on European countries (e.g., Galperin and Sofiev, 1998; Metcalfe et al., 1998; Skj oth et al., 2004) and are very limited in other regions (e.g., in the Kanto region of Japan (Sakurai et al., 2005); eastern US (Russell et al., 1993; Mathur and Dennis, 2003); western US (e.g., Russell et al., 1983), southeastern US (e.g., Wu et al., 2005, 2007), and the southern US (Pavlovic et al., 2006)). Table 6 shows several 3-D model applications for NH_x including transport, chemistry, and deposition of NH_x for current and future years, the effect of nitrogen deposition on world biodiversity, and the impacts of NH_3 emission control on deposition.

Model evaluation for NH_x simulations has been conducted with very limited observational data; it is conducted primarily at an operational level in terms of spatial distribution, temporal variation, and statistical trends (e.g., Mathur and Dennis, 2003; Wu et al., 2005, 2007). Some sensitivity simulations are conducted to investigate the roles of NH_3 emissions in forming $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and to evaluate the accuracy of the NH_3 emission inventory used (e.g., Lekkerkerk, 1998; Hu et al., 2007). For example, Wu et al. (2007) conducted CMAQ simulations and evaluations using the observational datasets such as the Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE), the EPA Speciation Trends Networks (STN), CASTNet, and the NC Department of Environment and Natural Re-

sources (NCDENR). Higher levels of evaluation (e.g., diagnostic, sensitivity, and probabilistic) are necessary to provide in-depth understanding of the model biases in simulating NH_x . Continuous measurements of mixing ratios, emission fluxes, and dry and wet deposition amounts of NH_x at a time resolution of 1 h over multiple sites are urgently needed to verify model performance.

2.7. NH_3 emission control and policy implications

The health and environmental concerns of agriculturally-emitted air pollutants have led regulators and policy makers from the US and other countries to begin considering mitigation strategies for those pollutants. For example, regulations for NH_3 emission reductions from the livestock farming have been initiated and enforced in the Netherlands to meet stringent emission and deposition of NH_3 targets (Lekkerkerk, 1998). In the US, although there is currently no national ambient air quality standards (NAAQs) for those pollutants, a reporting requirement for the large released quantities of NH_3 and H_2S from AFOs has been enforced under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA), as part of the Clean Water Act (CAA). In addition, mitigation measures are being taken at a state level. For example, both Minnesota and Texas have state ambient air quality standards for H_2S ; the NC Environmental Management Commission is one of the first agencies in the US to adopt rules for odor control from swine farms in 1999.

Reducing NH_3 emissions has been addressed by both the government and research community as an important policy issue in Europe, although no much attention has been given in the US. A number of studies have been performed to study the efficiency of various abatement options (e.g., Mccubbin et al., 2002), which requires the consideration of multi-disciplinary aspects of the issue including environmental impacts, biophysical processes, and agricultural operations (e.g., soil, land use, crop, fertilizer, irrigation). Several models have been used for regulatory applications. For example, Cowell and Apsimon (1998) have developed the Model for the Assessment of Regional Ammonia Cost Curves for Abatement Strategies (MARACCAS) to assess the cost-effectiveness of potential abatement measures and to design the efficient abatement strategies. Mccubbin et al. (2002) applied the S–R

Table 6
Examples of model applications for simulating agriculturally-emitted species

Models ^a	Applications	Domains	Periods	Grid resolutions	References
TM3 global chemistry model	Nitrogen deposition and its effect of nitrogen deposition on world biodiversity	Global	2000–2050	5° × 3.75°	Rodhe et al. (2002); Phoenix et al. (2006)
3-D HadAM3 global climate model and the STOCHEM Lagrangian chemistry model	Present and future deposition of acidic nitrogen and sulfur species	Global	1990, 2090	5° × 5°	Sanderson et al. (2006)
3-D Eulerian chemistry and transport model MSC-E	Long-range transport and deposition of NH _x	Northern Hemisphere/ Europe	1991–1994	150 × 150 km ² for N.H. 50 × 50 km ² for Europe 5 × 5 km ² from OPS	Galperin and Sofiev (1998) Erisman et al. (1998)
OPS transport model and DEADM deposition model	Short- and long-distance transport and deposition of SO _x , NO _y , and NH _x	The Netherlands	1980–1994	1 × 1 km ² for SO _x and NO _y , 5 × 5 km ² for NH ₃ from DEADM 5 × 5 km ²	Singles et al. (1998)
FRAME 1-D Lagrangian statistical trajectory model	NH ₃ emissions, transport, and deposition	Great Britain	Not reported	60 × 60 km ²	Lekkerkerk (1998)
Statistical long-term atmospheric transport model TREND	Impact of NH ₃ emission control on deposition	Europe	2000–2010	20 × 20 km ²	Metcalfe et al. (1998)
3-D Lagrangian transport and chemistry model HARM	Wet deposition of NH ₄ ⁺	UK	2010	50 × 50 km ²	Jonson et al. (1998)
A 3-D Eulerian model MADE-50	Transport and deposition of nitrogen species	Europe	January–December 1992	50 × 50 km ²	Simpson et al. (2006)
The 3-D HIRLAM/EMEP	Concentrations and depositions of reactive nitrogen species	Europe	2000; May, 2002 to July 2003	50 × 50 km ²	
MSC-W Unified Eulerian model, v2.0					
3-D ACDEP Lagrangian variable scale transport chemistry model	Concentration of NH _x	Denmark	1999–2001	16.67 × 16.67 km ²	Skjøth et al. (2004)
CMAQ	Spatial distribution and seasonal variation of NH _x	The Kanto region of Japan	January–December 2000 Summer, 2002	15 × 15 km ² /5 × 5 km ² (nested)	Sakurai et al. (2005)
Caltech photochemical airshed model	Dry deposition flux of nitrogen-containing compounds	Los Angeles	August 1982	5 × 5 km ²	Russell et al. (1993)
3-D RADM	Seasonal and annual distribution of concentrations and deposition amounts of NH _x	The contiguous US	30 five-day episodes representative of the late 1980s-early 1990s	36 × 36 km ²	Mathur and Dennis (2003)
3-D CMAQ	Seasonal variation and process analysis for NH _x and related species	Southeast US (centered in NC)	August and December 2002	4 × 4 km ²	Wu et al. (2005, 2007); Hu et al. (2007)
3-D CAMx4.11	Transport and fate of NH _x	South US/Southeast Texas Houston-Galveston area	August 22–September 6, 2000	36 × 36 km ² 12 × 12 km ² 4 × 4 km ²	Pavlovic et al. (2006)

^aHadAM3—the Hadley Centre climate model; MSC-E—the meteorological synthesizing centre-East; OPS—the Operationele Prioritaire Stoffen model; DEADM—the Dutch empirical acid Deposition Model; FRAME—fine resolution ammonia exchange; HARM—the Hull acid rain model; MADE-50—the multi-level acid deposition model for Europe with 50 km resolution; HIRLAM—the high resolution limited area model; ACDEP—the atmospheric chemistry and Deposition model; MSC-W—the meteorological synthesizing centre-west; CMAQ—the Community multiscale air quality modeling system; RADM—the regional acid deposition model; CAMx—the comprehensive air quality model with extensions.

matrix AQM and suggest that reducing livestock NH_3 emissions by 10% can lead to particulate-related health benefits of over \$4 billion yr^{-1} . The Regional Air pollution INformation and Simulation (RAINS) model includes seven options for NH_3 control strategies including lowering nitrogen feed, air purification, animal housing adaptations, covered storage of manure, low NH_3 application of manure, urea substitution, and stripping and absorption techniques in fertilizer industry (Klimont, 2001). It has been applied to study impact of NH_3 abatement on the emissions of CH_4 and N_2O for 1990 and 2010 (Brink et al., 2001).

3. Major challenges, future directions, and outlook

Large uncertainties in current agricultural air quality modeling lie in several aspects including (1) inaccurate emission inventories as a result of erroneous activity levels, the use of uniform emission factors, inaccurate temporal variations, poor spatial resolution, inconsistent source categories, and inconsistency in the methods used; (2) inaccurate meteorological predictions (e.g., temperature, wind speed, wind direction, and precipitation); (3) a lack of a detailed information on terrain characteristics and land use at a fine scale (e.g., surface roughness and vegetation); (4) missing, or inadequate model treatments of chemical and physical processes (e.g., gas- and aqueous-phase chemistry for NH_3 , gas/particle partitioning, aerosol dynamics, and dry and wet deposition); (5) inability to simulate both the short-range dispersion and deposition of NH_3 near the ground and the long-range transport and fate of NH_4^+ at higher elevations downwind of sources; (6) high uncertainty in the dry deposition parameterization of NH_3 ; and (7) a paucity of observations of emissions, concentrations, and deposition suitable for model verification and evaluation. Reducing those uncertainties presents significant research challenges and directions in the coming years. Resolving them has profound impacts on air quality, human health, agro-eco environment, and biodiversity as well as important policy implications from local to global scales. It requires an integrated effort nationwide and worldwide from scientists, engineers, policy-makers, managers, and public.

Acknowledgements

This work is sponsored by the National Science Foundation Career Award No. ATM-0348819 and

the United States Department of Agriculture 2004-35112-14253 at NCSU.

References

- Adams, P.J., Seinfeld, J.H., Koch, D.M., 1999. Global concentrations of tropospheric sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium aerosol simulated in a general circulation model. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 104, 13791–13823.
- Aneja, V.P., Murray, G.C., Southerland, J., 1998. Atmospheric nitrogen compounds: Emissions, transport, deposition, and assessment. EM, April, pp. 22–25.
- Aneja, V.P., Schlesinger, W.H., Niyogi, D., Jennings, G., Gilliam, W., Knighton, R.E., Duke, C.S., Blunden, J., Krishnan, S., 2006. Emerging national research needs for agricultural air quality. EOS, Transactions of the American Geophysical Union 87 (3).
- Ansari, A.S., Pandis, S.N., 1999. An analysis of four models predicting the partitioning of semivolatile inorganic aerosol components. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 31, 129–153.
- Arya, S.P., 2003. A review of the theoretical bases of short-range atmospheric dispersion and air quality models. *Proceedings of the Indian National Science Academy* 69A (6), 709–724.
- Asman, W.A.H., Janssen, A.J., 1987. A long-range transport model for ammonia and ammonium for Europe. *Atmospheric Environment* 21, 2099–2119.
- Asman, W.A.H., van Jaarsveld, H.A., 1992. A variable resolution transport model applied for NH_x in Europe. *Atmospheric Environment* 26 (A), 445–464.
- Atkinson, R., 1989. Kinetics and mechanisms of the gas-phase reactions of the hydroxyl radical with organic compounds. *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data*, Monograph 1, 1–246.
- Atkinson, R., Baulch, D.L., Cox, R.A., Hampson Jr., R.F., Kerr, J.A., Rossi, M.J., Troe, J., 1997a. Evaluated kinetic, photochemical, and heterogeneous data for atmospheric chemistry. 5. IUPAC subcommittee on gas kinetic data evaluation for atmospheric chemistry. *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data* 26, 521–1011.
- Atkinson, R., Baulch, D.L., Cox, R.A., Hampson Jr., R.F., Kerr, J.A., Rossi, M.J., Troe, J., 1997b. Evaluated kinetic, photochemical, and heterogeneous data for atmospheric chemistry. VI-IUPAC subcommittee on gas kinetic data evaluation for atmospheric chemistry. *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data* 26, 1329–1499.
- Battye, R., Battye, W., Overcash, C., Fudge, S., 1994. Development and selection of ammonia emission factors, 68-D3-0034, US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC.
- Battye, W., Aneja, V.P., Roelle, P.A., 2003. Evaluation and improvement of ammonia emissions inventories. *Atmospheric Environment* 37, 3873–3883.
- Becke-Goehring, M., 1960. *Advances in Inorganic Radiation Chemistry* 2, 291.
- Binkowski, F.S., Roselle, S.J., 2003. Models-3 community multiscale air quality (CMAQ) model aerosol component 1. Model description. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 108 (D6), 4183.
- Blanchard, C.L., Hidy, G.M., 2003. Effects of changes in sulfate, ammonia, and nitric acid on particulate nitrate concentrations in the Southeastern United States. *Journal of Air and Waste Management Association* 53, 283–290.

- Brink, C., Kroeze, C., Klimont, Z., 2001. Ammonia abatement and its impact on emissions of nitrous oxide and methane—Part 2: application for Europe. *Atmospheric Environment* 35, 6313–6325.
- Brown, L., Brown, S.A., Jarvis, S.C., Syed, B., Goulding, K.W.T., Phillips, V.R., Sneath, R.W., Pain, B.F., 2001. An inventory of nitrous oxide emissions from agriculture in the UK using the IPCC methodology: emission estimate, uncertainty and sensitivity analysis. *Atmospheric Environment* 35, 1439–1449.
- Canagaratna, M., Phillips, J.A., Goodfriend, H., Leopold, K.R., 1996. *J. American Chemical Society* 118, 5290.
- Carl, S.A., Crowley, J.N., 1998. Sequential two (blue) photon absorption by NO₂ in the presence of H₂ as a source of OH in pulsed photolysis kinetic studies: rate constants for reaction of OH with CH₃NH₂, (CH₃)₂NH, (CH₃)₃N, and C₂H₅NH₂ at 295 K. *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 102, 8131–8141.
- Chadwick, D.R., Sneath, R.W., Phillips, V.R., Pain, B.F., 1999. A UK inventory of nitrous oxide emissions from farmed livestock. *Atmospheric Environment* 33, 3345–3354.
- Clegg, S.L., Brimblecombe, P., 1989. Solubility of ammonia in pure aqueous and multicomponent solutions. *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 93, 7237–7248.
- Coffman, D.J., Hegg, D.A., 1995. A preliminary study of the effect of ammonia on particle nucleation in the marine boundary layer. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 100, 7147–7160.
- Cowell, D.A., Apsimon, H.M., 1998. Cost-effective strategies for the abatement of ammonia emissions from European agriculture. *Atmospheric Environment* 32, 573–580.
- Dabney, S.M., Bouldin, D.R., 1985. Fluxes of ammonia over an alfalfa field. *Agronomy Journal* 77, 572–578.
- Duxbury, J.M., Harper, L.A., Mosier, A.R., 1993. Contributions of agroecosystems to global climate change. In: Rolston, D.E., Duxbury, J.M., Harper, L.H., Mosier, A.R. (Eds.), *Agricultural Ecosystem Effects on Trace Gases and Global Climate Change*, ASA Special Publication, vol. 55. American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America and Soil Science Society of America. Madison, USA, pp. 1–18.
- Duyzer, J.H., Bouman, A.M.H., Diederer, H.S.M.A., and Van Aalst, R.M., 1987. Measurement of dry deposition velocities of NH₃ and NH₄⁺ over natural terrains. Report R 87/723, Netherlands Organisation for Applied Scientific Research, MT-TNO, Delft, the Netherlands.
- Davison, A.W., Cape, J.N., 2003. Atmospheric nitrogen compounds—issues related to agricultural systems. *Environmental International* 29, 181–187.
- DeMore, W.B., Sander, S.P., Gloden, D.M., Hampson, R.F., Kurylo, M.J., Howard, C.J., Ravishankara, A.R., Kolb, C.E., Molina, M.J., 1997. JPL Publication 97-4, NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA.
- Dentener, F.J., Crutzen, P.J., 1994. A three-dimensional model of global ammonia cycle. *Journal Atmospheric Chemistry* 19, 331–369.
- Duyzer, J.H., Veragen, H.L.M., Weststrate, J.H., Bosveld, F.C., 1992. Measurement of the dry deposition flux of NH₃ on to coniferous forest. *Environmental Pollution* 75, 3–13.
- Emerson, M.T., Grunwald, E., Kromhout, R.A., 1960. Proton-transfer studies by nuclear magnetic resonance. I. Diffusion control in the reaction of ammonium ion in aqueous acid. *Journal of Chemical Physics* 33, 547–555.
- ENVIRON, 2004. User's Guide: Comprehensive Air Quality Model with Extensions (CAMx)—Version 4.4 <www.camx.com>.
- Erisman, J.W., Bleeker, A., van Jaarsveld, H., 1998. Atmospheric deposition of ammonia to semi-natural vegetation in the Netherlands—methods for mapping and evaluation. *Atmospheric Environment* 32, 481–489.
- Farquhar, G.D., Firth, P.M., Wetselarr, R., Weir, B., 1980. ON the gaseous exchange of ammonia between leaves and the environment: determination of the ammonia compensation point. *Plant Physiology* 66, 701–714.
- Filipy, J., Rumburg, B., Mount, G., Westberg, H., Lamb, B., 2006. Identification and quantification of volatile organic compounds from a dairy, *Atmospheric Environment* 40, 1480–1494.
- Finlayson-Pitts, B.J., Pitts Jr., J.N., 1986. *Atmospheric Chemistry: Fundamentals and Experimental Techniques*. Wiley, New York.
- Finlayson-Pitts, B.J., Pitts Jr., J.N., 2000. *Atmospheric Chemistry*. Wiley, New York.
- Fowler, D., Pitcairn, C.E.R., Sutton, M.A., Flechard, C., Loubet, B., Coyle, M., Munro, R.C., 1998. The mass budget of atmospheric ammonia in woodland within 1 km of livestock buildings. *Environmental Pollution* 102, 342–348.
- Galperin, M.V., Sofiev, M.A., 1998. The long-range transport of ammonia and ammonium in the Northern Hemisphere. *Atmospheric Environment* 32 (3), 373–380.
- Gilliland, A.B., Dennis, R.L., Roselle, S.J., Pierce, T.E., 2003. Seasonal NH₃ emission estimates for the eastern United States based on ammonium wet concentrations and an inverse modeling method. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 108 (D15), 4477.
- Goebes, M.D., Strader, R., Davidson, C., 2003. An ammonia emission inventory for fertilizer application in the United States. *Atmospheric Environment* 37, 2539–2550.
- Guelle, W., Balkanski, Y.J., Dibb, J.E., Schulz, M., Dulac, F., 1998. Wet deposition in a global size-dependent aerosol transport model. 2. Influence of the scavenging scheme on 210Pb vertical profiles, surface concentrations, and deposition. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 103, 28875–28891.
- Hu, J.-L., Wu, S.-Y., Zhang, Y., Aneja, V.P., 2007. Modeling atmospheric transport and fate of ammonia in Southeast US, Part II. Effect of ammonia emissions on fine particulate matter formation. *Atmospheric Environment*, submitted for publication.
- Hutchings, N.J., Sommer, S.G., Andersen, J.M., Asman, W.A.H., 2001. A detailed ammonia emission inventory for Denmark. *Atmospheric Environment* 35, 1959–1968.
- Hyde, B.P., Carton, O.T., O'Toole, P., Misselbrook, T.H., 2003. A new inventory of ammonia emissions from Irish agriculture. *Atmospheric Environment* 37, 55–62.
- Hynes, A.J., Wine, P.H., 1991. Kinetics and mechanism of the reaction of hydroxyl radicals with acetonitrile under atmospheric conditions. *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 95, 1232–1240.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2001. Third assessment report. In: Houghton, J. T., et al. (Eds.), *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis*. Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Jacobson, M.Z., 2002. *Atmospheric Pollution, History, Science, and Regulation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

- Jacobson, M.Z., 2005. *Fundamentals of Atmospheric Modeling*, second ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Jonson, J.E., Bartnicki, J., Olendrzynski, K., Jakobsen, H.A., Berge, E., 1998. EMEP Eulerian model for atmospheric transport and deposition of nitrogen species over Europe. *Environmental Pollution* 102 (S1), 289–298.
- Karamchandani, P., Vijayaraghavan, K., Chen, S.-Y., Seigneur, C., Edgerton, E.S., 2006. Plume-in-grid modeling for particulate matter. *Atmospheric Environment* 40 (38), 7280–7297.
- Klimont, Z., 2001. Ammonia emissions, abatement technologies and related costs for Europe in the RAINS model. Interim Report IR-01-xx. International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA), Laxenburg, Austria.
- Koch, R., Palm, W.-U., Krüger, H.-U., Elend, M., Zetzsch, C., 1996. Rate constants for the gas-phase reaction of OH with amines: tert-butyl amine, 2,2,2-trifluoroethyl amine, and 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2] octane. *International Journal of Chemical Kinetics* 28, 807–815.
- Koch, R., Palm, W.U., Zetzsch, C., 1997. First rate constants for reactions of OH radicals with amides. *International Journal of Chemical Kinetics* 29, 81–87.
- Krishnan, S., Zhang, Y., Aneja, V. P., Wu, S.-Y., Mathur, R., 2006. Modeling study of dry deposition of ammonia in North Carolina. Poster Presentation at the Workshop on Agricultural Air Quality: State of the Science, Potomac, MD, June 5–8, 2006.
- Landreth, R., de Pena, R.G., Heicklen, J., 1974. Thermodynamics of the reactions $(\text{NH}_3)_n \cdot \text{SO}_2(\text{s}) \cdot \text{far} \cdot n\text{NH}_3(\text{g}) + \text{SO}_2(\text{g})$. *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 78, 1378–1380.
- Langford, A.O., Fehsenfeld, F.C., 1992. Natural vegetation as a source or sink for atmospheric ammonia: a case study. *Science* 255, 581–583.
- Larsen III, R.K., Steinbacher, J.C., Baker, J., 2001. Ammonia exchange between the atmosphere and the surface waters at two locations in the Chesapeake bay. *Environmental Science and Technology* 35, 4731–4738.
- Lekkerkerk, L.J.A., 1998. Implications of DUTCH ammonia policy on the livestock sector. *Atmospheric Environment* 32 (3), 581–587.
- Lovejoy, E.R., 1997. Kinetics and thermodynamics of the gas phase reaction $\text{SO}_3 + \text{NH}_3 + \text{N}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{H}_3\text{NSO}_3 + \text{N}_2$. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* 101, 4950–4953.
- Mathur, R., Dennis, R.L., 2003. Seasonal and annual modeling of reduced nitrogen compounds over the eastern United States: emissions, ambient levels, and deposition amounts. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 108 (D15).
- McCubbin, D.R., Apelberg, B.J., Roe, S., Divita, F., 2002. Livestock ammonia management and particulate-related health benefits. *Environmental Science and Technology* 36, 1141–1146.
- Metcalf, S.E., Whyatt, J.D., Derwent, R.G., 1998. Multi-pollutant modeling and the critical loads approach for nitrogen. *Atmospheric Environment* 32 (3), 401–408.
- Meyer, B., Mulliken, B., Weeks, H., 1980. The reactions of sulfur dioxide with excess ammonia. *Phosphorus Sulphur* 8, 281–290.
- Miller, D.N., Varel, V.H., 2001. In vitro study of the biochemical origin and production limits of odorous compounds in cattle feedlots. *Journal of Animal Science* 79, 2949–2956.
- Misselbrook, T.H., Van Der Weerden, T.J., Pain, B.F., Jarvis, S.C., Chambers, B.J., Smith, K.A., Phillips, V.R., Demmers, T.G.M., 2000. Ammonia emission factors for UK agriculture. *Atmospheric Environment* 34, 871–880.
- Morgan, J.A., Parton, W.J., 1989. Characteristics of ammonia volatilization from spring wheat. *Crop Science* 29, 726–731.
- Nemitz, E., Milford, C., Sutton, M.A., 2001. A two-layer canopy compensation point model for describing bi-directional biosphere-atmosphere exchange of ammonia. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* 127, 815–833.
- Nenes, A., Pilinis, C., Pandis, S.N., 1999. Continued development and testing of a new thermodynamic aerosol module for urban and regional air quality models. *Atmospheric Environment* 33, 1553–1560.
- NRC, 2003. *Air Emissions from Animal Feeding Operations: Current Knowledge, Future Needs*. Ad Hoc Committee on Air Emissions from Animal Feeding Operations, Committee on Animal Nutrition, National Research Council, 286pp. ISBN:0-309-08705-8.
- Pacyna, J.M., Graedel, T.E., 1995. Atmospheric emissions inventories: status and prospects. *Annual Review of Energy and the Environment* 20.
- Pain, B.F., van der Weerden, T.J., Chambers, B.J., Phillips, V.R., Jarvis, S.C., 1998. A new inventory for ammonia emissions from UK agriculture. *Atmospheric Environment* 32 (3), 309–313.
- Pavlovic, R.T., Nopmongcol, U., Kimura, Y., Allen, D.T., 2006. Ammonia emissions, concentrations, and implications for particulate matter formation in Houston, TX. *Atmospheric Environment*, in press, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.04.071.
- Phillips, S.B., Arya, S.P., Aneja, V.P., 2004. Ammonia flux and dry deposition velocity from near-surface concentration gradient measurements over a grass surface in North Carolina. *Atmospheric Environment* 38, 3469–3480.
- Phoenix, G.K., Hicks, W.K., Cinderby, S., Kuylenstierna, J.C.I., Stock, W.S., Dentener, F.J., Giller, K.E., Austin, A.T., Lefroy, R.D.B., Gimeno, B.S., Ashmore, M.R., Ineson, P., 2006. Atmospheric nitrogen deposition in world biodiversity hotspots: the need for a greater global perspective in assessing N deposition impacts. *Global Change Biology* 12, 470–476.
- Pinder, R.W., Pekney, N.J., Davidson, C.I., Adams, P.J., 2004a. A process-based model of ammonia emissions from dairy cows: improved temporal and spatial resolution. *Atmospheric Environment* 38, 1357–1365.
- Pinder, R.W., Strader, R., Davidson, C.I., Adams, P.J., 2004b. A temporally and spatially resolved ammonia emission inventory for dairy cows in the United States. *Atmospheric Environment* 38, 3747–3756.
- Queen, A., Krishnan, S., Zhang, Y., Wu, S.-Y., Pleim, J., Roselle, S., and Gilliam, R., MM5 precipitation physics and their impact on the wet deposition predictions of CMAQ. Poster Presentation at the Workshop on Agricultural Air Quality: State of the Science, Potomac, MD, June 5–8, 2006.
- Rabaud, N.E., Ebeler, S.E., Ashbaugh, L.L., Flocchini, R.G., 2003. Characterization and quantification of odorous and non-odorous volatile organic compounds near a commercial dairy in California. *Atmospheric Environment* 37, 933–940.
- Renard, J.J., Calidonna, S.E., Henley, M.V., 2004. Fate of ammonia in the atmosphere—a review for applicability to hazardous releases. *Journal of hazardous Materials, B* 108, 29–60.
- Robarge, W.P., Walker, J.T., McCulloch, R.B., Murray, G., 2002. Atmospheric concentrations of ammonia and

- ammonium at an agricultural site in the southeast United States. *Atmospheric Environment* 36, 1661–1674.
- Rodhe, H., Dentener, F., Schulz, M., 2002. The global distribution of acidifying wet deposition. *Environmental Science and Technology* 36, 4382–4388.
- Russell, A.G., McRae, G.J., Cass, G.R., 1983. Mathematical modeling of the formation and transport of ammonium nitrate aerosol. *Atmospheric Environment* 17, 949–964.
- Russell, A.G., Winner, D.A., Harley, R.A., McCue, K.F., Cass, G.R., 1993. Mathematical modeling and control of the dry deposition flux of nitrogen-containing air pollutants. *Environmental Science and Technology* 27, 2772–2782.
- Russell, A., Dennis, R., 2000. NARSTO critical review of photochemical models and modeling. *Atmospheric Environment* 34, 2283–2324.
- Sakurai, T., Fujita, S., Hayami, H., Furuhashi, N., 2005. A study of atmospheric ammonia by means of modeling analysis in the Kanto region of Japan. *Atmospheric Environment* 39, 203–210.
- Sawamoto, T., Nakajima, Y., Kasuya, M., Tsuruta, H., Yagi, K., 2005. Evaluation of emission factors for indirect N₂O emission due to nitrogen leaching in agro-ecosystems. *Geophysical Research Letters* 32.
- Sala, O.E., Chapin III, F.S., Armesto, J.J., et al., 2000. Global biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. *Science* 287, 1770–1774.
- Sanderson, M.G., Collins, W.J., Johnson, C.E., Derwent, R.G., 2006. Present and future acid deposition to ecosystems: the effect of climate change. *Atmospheric Environment* 40, 1275–1283.
- Schjøerring, J.K., Husted, S., Mattsson, M., 1998. Physiological parameters controlling plant–atmosphere ammonia exchange. *Atmospheric Environment* 32, 491–498.
- Seaman, N.L., 2000. Meteorological modeling for air-quality assessments. *Atmospheric Environment* 34, 2231–2259.
- Seinfeld, J.H., Pandis, S.N., 1998. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*. Wiley, New York, p.1326.
- Simpson, D., Butterbach-Bahl, H., Fagerli, M., Kesik, U., Skiba, Tang, S., 2006. Deposition and emissions of reactive nitrogen over European forests: a modelling study. *Atmospheric Environment* 40 (29), 5712–5726.
- Singles, R., Sutton, M.A., Weston, K.J., 1998. A multi-layer model to describe the atmospheric transport and deposition of ammonia in Great Britain. *Atmospheric Environment* 32 (3), 393–399.
- Skjoth, C.A., Hertel, O., Gyldenkerne, S., Ellermann, T., 2004. Implementing a dynamical ammonia emission parameterization in the large scale air pollution model ACDEP. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 109 (D06306).
- Slinn, S.A., Slinn, W.G.N., 1980. Predictions for particle deposition of natural waters. *Atmospheric Environment* 14, 1013–1016.
- Sotiropoulou, R.E.P., Tagaris, E., Pilinis, C., 2004. An estimation of the spatial distribution of agricultural ammonia emissions in the Greater Athens area. *The Science of the Total Environment* 318, 159–169.
- Sunesson, A.L., Gullberg, J., Blomquist, G., 2001. Airborne chemical compounds on dairy farms. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring* 3, 210–216.
- Sutton, M.A., Fowler, D., Moncrieff, J.B., 1993. The exchange of atmospheric ammonia with vegetated surfaces. I. Unfertilized vegetation. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* 119, 1023–1045.
- Sutton, M.A., Asman, W.A.H., Schjoerring, J.K., 1994. Dry deposition of reduced nitrogen. *Tellus* 46B, 255–273.
- Sutton, M.A., Burkhardt, J.K., Guerin, D., Nemitz, E., Fowler, D., 1998. Development of resistance models to describe measurements of bi-directional ammonia surface-atmosphere exchange. *Atmospheric Environment (Special Issue)* 32, 473–480.
- Tuazon, E.C., Carter, W.P.L., Atkinson, R., Winer, A.M., Pitts Jr., J.N., 1984. Atmospheric reactions of *N*-nitrosodimethylamine and dimethylnitramine. *Environmental Science and Technology* 18, 49–54.
- US Environmental Protection Agency, (USEPA), 2002. Review of emissions factors and methodologies to estimate ammonia emissions from animal waste handling. EPA-600/R-02-017, Washington, DC.
- Van der Hoek, K.W., 1998. Estimating ammonia emission factors in Europe: summary of the work of the UNECE ammonia expert panel. *Atmospheric Environment* 32, 315–316.
- Vayenas, D., Takahama, S., Davidson, C., Pandis, S.N., 2005. Simulation of the thermodynamics and removal processes in the sulfate-ammonia-nitric acid system during winter: implications for PM_{2.5} control strategies. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 110, D07S14.
- Walker, J.T., Geron, C., Vose, J., Swank, W., 2002. Nitrogen trace gas emissions from a riparian ecosystem in southern Appalachia. *Chemosphere* 49, 1389–1398.
- Walker, J.T., Whitall, D., Robarge, W.P., Paerl, H., 2004. Ambient ammonia and ammonium aerosol across a region of variable ammonia emission density. *Atmospheric Environment* 38, 1235–1246.
- Walker, J.T., Robarge, W.P., Kimball, H., Shendrikar, A., 2006a. Inorganic PM_{2.5} at a US agricultural site. *Environmental Pollution* 139, 258–271.
- Walker, J.T., Robarge, W.P., Wu, Y., Meyers, T., 2006b. Measurement of bi-directional ammonia fluxes over soybean using the modified Bowen-ratio technique. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 138, 54–68.
- Wang, K., Zhang, Y., Jacobson, M.Z., Liang, J.-Y., Magliano, K., 2006. A study of gas/particle partitioning using inorganic thermodynamic equilibrium modules and data from the California Regional PM₁₀/PM_{2.5} air quality study. In: *Proceedings of the Workshop on Agricultural Air Quality: State of the Science*, Potomac, MD, June 5–8, 2006.
- Weber, R.J., Marti, J.J., McMurry, P.H., Eisele, F.L., Tanner, D.J., Jeffersoon, A., 1997. Measurements of new particle formation and ultrafine particle growth rates at a clean continental site. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 102, 4375–4385.
- Wells, M., Choulaton, T.W., Bower, K.N., 1998. A modeling study of the interaction of ammonia with cloud. *Atmospheric Environment* 32, 359–363.
- Wesely, M.L., 1989. Parameterization of surface resistances to gaseous dry deposition in regional-scale numerical models. *Atmospheric Environment* 23, 1293–1304.
- Wine, P., Strekowski, R., Nicovich, J., McKee, M., Chen, G., Davis, D., 2002. Atmospheric chemistry of HCN. Paper PHYS 134 presented at 224th ACS National Meeting, American Chemical Society, Boston, MA.
- Wu, S.-Y., Krishnan, S., Hu, J.-L., Misenis, C., Zhang, Y., Aneja, V.P., Mathur, R., 2005. Simulating atmospheric fate of ammonia in Southeast US using CMAQ with a 4-km resolution. In: *The 4th Annual CMAS Models-3 User's*

- Conference, Research Triangle Park, NC, September 26–28, 2005.
- Wu, S.-Y., Krishnan, S., Hu, J.-L., Zhang, Y., and Aneja, V., 2007. Modeling atmospheric transport and fate of ammonia in Southeast US, Part I. Evaluation of meteorological and chemical predictions, *Atmospheric Environment*, submitted for publication.
- Wyers, G.P., Erisman, J.W., 1998. Ammonia exchange over coniferous forest. *Atmospheric Environment* 32, 441–451.
- Yamulki, S., Harrison, R.M., Goulding, K.W.T., 1996. Ammonia surface-exchange above an agricultural field in Southeast England. *Atmospheric Environment* 30, 109–118.
- Yin, F., Grosjean, D., Seinfeld, J.H., 1990. Photooxidation of dimethyl sulfide and dimethyl disulfide, I: mechanism development. *Journal Atmospheric Chemistry* 11, 365–399.
- Zhang, Y., and Jacobson, M.Z., 2005. Implementation and testing of EQUISOLV II in the CMAQ modeling system. Oral Presentation at the 2005 Models-3 Workshop, Chapel Hill, NC, September 26–28, 2005.
- Zhang, Y., Seigneur, C., Seinfeld, J.H., Jacobson, M., Binkowski, F.S., 1999. Simulation of aerosol dynamics: a comparative review of algorithms used in air quality models. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 31 (6), 487–514.
- Zhang, Y., Seigneur, C., Seinfeld, J.H., Jacobson, M., Clegg, S.L., Binkowski, F.S., 2000. A comparative review of inorganic aerosol thermodynamic equilibrium modules: similarities, differences, and their likely causes. *Atmospheric Environment* 34, 117.
- Zhang, Y., Liu, P., Wang, K., Jacobson, M. Z., Bhavsar, P., Yu, S.-C., Roselle, S., Schere, K., 2005. Predicting aerosol number and size distribution with CMAQ: homogeneous nucleation algorithms and process analysis. Oral Presentation at the 2005 Models-3 Workshop, Chapel Hill, NC, September 26–28.
- Zhang, Y., Pun, B., Vijayaraghavan, K., Wu, S.-Y., Seigneur, C., Pandis, S., Jacobson, M., Nenes, A., Seinfeld, J.H., 2004. Development and application of the model of aerosol dynamics, reaction, ionization and dissolution, MADRID). *Journal of Geophysical Research* 109, D01202.
- Zhang, Y., Liu, P., Queen, A., Misenis, C., Pun, B., Seigneur, C., Wu, S.-Y., 2006a. A comprehensive performance evaluation of MM5-CMAQ for the summer 1999 southern oxidants study episode, Part-II. Gas and aerosol predictions. *Atmospheric Environment* 40, 4839–4855.
- Zhang, Y., Liu, P., Pun, B., Seigneur, C., 2006b. A Comprehensive performance evaluation of MM5-CMAQ for the summer 1999 southern oxidants study episode, Part III. Diagnostic and mechanistic evaluations. *Atmospheric Environment* 40, 4856–4873.