

Air & Waste



ISSN: 1073-161X (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/uawm19

Monitoring Deposition of Nitrogen-Containing **Compounds in a High-Elevation Forest Canopy**

Viney P. Aneja & Anuradha B. Murthy

To cite this article: Viney P. Aneja & Anuradha B. Murthy (1994) Monitoring Deposition of Nitrogen-Containing Compounds in a High-Elevation Forest Canopy, Air & Waste, 44:9, 1109-1115, DOI: 10.1080/10473289.1994.10467307

To link to this article: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10473289.1994.10467307</u>

4	1	(1
Б			

Published online: 05 Mar 2012.



🖉 Submit your article to this journal 🗹

Article views: 53



View related articles 🗹



Citing articles: 4 View citing articles 🕑

ISSN 1047-3289 J. Air & Waste Manage, Assoc. 44: 1109-1115

Monitoring Deposition of Nitrogen-Containing Compounds in a High-Elevation Forest Canopy

Viney P. Aneja and Anuradha B. Murthy

Department of Marine, Earth and Atmospheric Sciences North Carolina State University Raleigh, North Carolina

Measurements of airborne (gaseous and aerosol), cloud water, and precipitation concentrations of nitrogen compounds were made at Mt. Mitchell State Park (Mt. Gibbs, ~2006 m MSL), North Carolina, during May through September of 1988 and 1989. An annular denuder system was used to ascertain gaseous (nitric acid, nitrous acid, and ammonia) and particulate (nitrate and ammonium) nitrogen species, and a chemiluminescence nitrogen oxides analyzer was used to measure nitric oxide and nitrogen dioxide. Measurements of NO₃ and NH₄ ions in cloud and rain water samples were made during the same time period. Mean concentrations of gaseous nitric acid, nitrous acid, and ammonia were 1.14 µg/m³, 0.3 µg/m³, and 0.62 µg/m³ for 1988, and 1.40 µg/m³, 0.3 µg/m³, and 1.47 µg/m³ for 1989, respectively. Fine particulate nitrate and ammonium ranged from 0.02 to 0.21 µg/m³ and 0.01 to 4.72 µg/m³ for 1988, and 0.1 to 0.78 µg/m³ and 0.24 to 2.32 µg/m³ for 1989, respectively. The fine aerosol fraction was dominated by ammonium sulfate particles. Mean concentrations of nitrate and ammonium ions in cloud water samples were 238 and 214 µmol/l in 1988, and 135 and 147 µmol/l in 1989, respectively. Similarly, the concentrations of NO₃ and NH₄⁺ in precipitation were 26.4 and 14.0 µmol/l in 1988, and 16.6 and 15.2 µmol/l in 1989, respectively. The mean total nitrogen deposition due to wet, dry, and cloud deposition processes was estimated as ~30 and ~40 kg N/ha/year (i.e., ~10 and ~13 kg N/ha/growing season) for 1988 and 1989. Based on an analytical analysis, deposition to the forest canopy due to cloud interception, precipitation, and dry deposition processes was found to contribute ~60, ~20, and ~20 percent, respectively of the total nitrogen deposition.

Introduction

Air pollution is suspected of being one of the stress factors that may contribute to the forest decline in the eastern United States and Europe.¹⁻⁵ High-elevation red spruce (*Picea rubens Sarg.*) and Fraser fir (*Abies fraseri* (*Pursh.*) Poir.) forests from Maine to North Carolina in the eastern United States have shown visible symptoms of injury, decreased radial growth, and increased mortality.⁶ At Mt. Mitchell State Park in North Carolina (elevation ~2038 m), the spruce-fir ecosystem is exhibiting considerable deterioration. This decline could be caused by the combination of several stress factors, namely, physical climate, biological stress, air pollution, availability of nutrient elements, increased competition from other trees, and human activities.⁷

Atmospheric nitrogen deposition (wet and dry deposition of NH_3/NH_4^+ , nitrogen oxides, ammonia, and nitrate) has been suggested as one of the key factors that may have triggered the forest dieback in Europe.^{8,9} The deposition of nitrogen-containing pol-

Implications Deposition of atmospheric pollutants to the canopy of the highelevation spruce-fir forests in the southern Appalachian Mountains occurs via cloud interception, precipitation, and dry deposition. Excess deposition (i.e., in excess of the critical load) of nitrogen compounds is one of the most likely mechanisms by which airborne pollutants can have a negative impact on these forest ecosystems. lutants on foliage and soils may inhibit the hardening-off processes by which needles protect themselves from frost injury during severe winter weather.¹⁰ Therefore, it is important to establish the extent to which nitrogen is supplied by atmospheric deposition throughout the growing season.¹¹⁻¹³ Characterization of the atmosphere with regard to nitrogen species (such as oxides of nitrogen, nitric acid, ammonia, particulate ammonium, and nitrate) and their deposition therefore becomes an important factor in exploring the forest decline research at Mt. Mitchell, North Carolina.

The specific sources of pollutants deposited at any site are largely unknown but are generally acknowledged to result from the widespread use of coal, natural gas, oil, and motor fuels in North America. Under a national program entitled Mountain Cloud Chemistry/Forest Exposure Study (MCCP) and funded by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the chemical and physical climates in five high-elevation sites in the eastern United States were monitored.^{14,15} Mt. Mitchell is the southernmost site of this network and has the distinction of being the highest point east of the Mississippi River in the United States.

In this paper we present measurements of nitrogen-containing pollutants (gaseous and aerosol pollutants, cloud water, and precipitation) and their canopy deposition. We compare and

Copyright 1994 - Air & Waste Management Association

contrast the relative contribution to the total deposition budget by these three mechanisms at a high-elevation site (Mt. Gibbs, ~2006 m MSL) located in Mt. Mitchell State Park in North Carolina (35°44'05"N, 82°17'15"W) during the summer and autumn (May through September) of 1988 and 1989.

Experimental

A 16.5 m meteorological tower was installed at Mt. Gibbs (~2006 m MSL), just outside the Mt. Mitchell State Park, about two kilometers southwest of Mt. Mitchell (Figure 1). The aluminum walk-up tower was equipped with an electronic weather station, capable of measuring temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and direction, solar radiation, and barometric pressure, and having a cloud detector. Meteorological measurements were stored as 15-minute and one-hour averaged values in an on-

site datalogger. A precipitation sampler was positioned in a clearing near the tower. Meteorological sensors were placed at least 10 m above the forest canopy, which consisted mostly of six- to seven-m Fraser fir trees.¹⁶⁻¹⁸

The tower also was equipped with an elevator assembly with an attached instrument carriage on the north face of the tower. The instrument carriage was equipped with two cloud collectors, one passive (ASRC-type)¹⁹ and one active (Caltech-type),²⁰ as well as a Forward Scattering Spectrometer Probe (FSSP). The cloud water collectors were used to collect bulk hourly samples of cloud water during a cloud event. The immersion of the top of the tower in a cloud for more than 15 minutes, as noted by an observer and an optical cloud detector,²¹ was defined as the start of a cloud event. Manual cloud water collection then commenced at the top of the tower, and the liquid water content (LWC) of the cloud was measured hourly by a gravimetric technique.22 Cloud collection was almost continuous from May to September in 1988, while samples were collected only during two intensive periods (May 15 to June 15, and July 15 to August 15) during 1989.

Chemical analyses consisted of pH; soluble Cl⁻, NO $\frac{1}{3}$, and SO $\frac{2}{4}$; and total dissolved Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, K⁺, Na⁺, and NH₄⁺. The pH of the cloud water samples was measured in the field within 15 minutes of collection and again in the laboratory. Internal and external audits were conducted during the sampling period at each field location and at the analytical laboratory. Chemical analyses were monitored by using external quality control samples and by submitting separate cloud water subsamples to the Central Analytical Laboratory of the National Atmospheric Deposition Program in Urbana, Illinois. In addition, internal and external EPA audits were performed by EPA personnel at the

field site and in the chemical laboratory throughout each sampling season.

Continuous gas-phase measurements of ozone, sulfur dioxide, and nitrogen oxides were made above the canopy near the base of the tower.^{23,24} Ozone measurements were made with a Thermo Electron Company analyzer, Model 49, based on ultraviolet absorption. The level of detection for the ozone analyzer was 2 ppbv. For ozone concentrations greater than 20 ppbv, the accuracy objective was ± 20 percent; for values in the range of 0 to 20 ppbv, the accuracy was ± 4 ppbv. Oxides of nitrogen were measured with a Monitor Lab Model 8440 analyzer, based on chemiluminescence; however, levels were generally lower than the detection limit of the analyzer (~2 ppbv). Sulfur dioxide was measured with a Thermo Electron Company analyzer, Model 43.

The level of detection for the sulfur dioxide analyzer was $0.6\,\rm ppbv,$

the accuracy objective was ± 20 percent for SO₂ levels in the range of 18 to 22 ppbv, and the precision was ± 5 ppbv in the range of 10 to 22 ppbv. The quality assurance protocols for ozone, oxides of nitrogen, and sulfur dioxide measurements generally included zero and span checks weekly and multipoint calibrations twice during the measurement season. Calibrations for these analyzers were based on National Institutes of Standards and Technology (NIST) traceable reference standards.

Ambient gaseous nitric acid, nitrous acid, ammonia, and fine particulate measurements were made utilizing the Annular Denuder System (ADS) technique.²⁵ The annular denuder system consisted of an impactor pre-separator to remove the coarse aerosol fraction, three annular denuder tubes in series to collect gases, and a filter pack to collect aerosols. The specific dimensions of the denuders used in this study were 217 by 28 mm with a 1-mm annular space between them. The first denuder tube, for the collection of nitric acid, was coated with a 0.1 percent solution of NaCl. The second denuder tube for the collection of other acidic gases (sulfur dioxide and nitrous acid) was coated with a solution of one percent glycerine and one percent Na₂CO₃ solution in a one percent mixture of methanol and distilled water. The third denuder for the collection of ammonia from the air stream was coated with one percent citric acid in methanol. A 10-ml portion of the solution was introduced into the respective denuders, which were then capped and rotated to completely coat the annular surfaces. The tubes then were dried with dry zero air. The artifacts of denuder collection of HNO₃, NH₃, and HONO have been reported by several researchers.^{26,27}

The annular denuder assembly was transferred to the field in a ship-





location of Mt. Mitchell. (b) Topographical map of the

Mt. Mitchell area showing the location of Site 1.

ping case and installed in a box designed to shield against precipitation, with the inlet opening extending from the bottom of the box. The assembly was operated in a laminar mode at a constant flow rate of 16.5 l/m. Most measurements were made during a 24-hour period. Shorter time periods (12 and four hours) also were used to study the diurnal variability of the nitrogen compounds. The samples were immediately extracted with deionized water in the field, and analyzed for NO₃ and SO₄² by ion chromatography and for NH₄⁺ by colorimetry.

Rainfall chemical analyses for Mt. Gibbs were obtained from the National Atmospheric Deposition Program (NADP).²⁸ The NADP collector was co-located at the site. The NADP monitoring station used an Aerochem Metrics wet/dry precipitation collector to collect a cumulative weekly sample of precipitation, and a rain gauge with an event pen to make a second measurement of precipitation amount. These samples were collected weekly and analyzed for major chemical species.

Deposition Processes

Deposition pathways include wet, dry, and cloud interception. Wet deposition involves the deposition of chemical species by precipitation. The dry deposition process is highly dependent on the aerodynamic characteristics of the near-surface boundary layer, the physical and chemical natures of the depositing material, the nature of the canopy (collecting surface), and the physiological characteristics of the biological components present in the canopy. Cloud deposition may be taken as a combination of wet and dry deposition mechanisms because the chemical uptake processes occur similarly to dry deposition.

Dry Deposition Model

Dry deposition may be defined as the aerodynamic exchange of trace gases and aerosols from ambient air to the collection surface. These processes include gravitational settling of particles and transport by turbulent eddies, molecular diffusion, and inertial impaction. Dry deposition is characterized by the deposition velocity (V_d), which can be calculated from the "Big Leaf" model,²⁹

$$V_{d} = (R_{a} + R_{b} + R_{c})^{-1}$$
(1)

where both the aerodynamic and diffusive boundary layer resistances, R_a and R_b , are functions of the wind speed (u) and the standard deviation in the wind direction (σ_0).

$$R_a \simeq \frac{1}{u\sigma_{\theta}^2} \tag{2}$$

$$R_{\rm b} \simeq \frac{1}{u^*} \left(\frac{\rm Sc}{\rm Pr}\right)^{\rm P} \tag{3}$$

The terms S_c = Schmidt number and P_r = Prandtl number, and the friction velocity (u*) can be approximated from the aerodynamic resistance:²⁹

$$R_a = v u^{*-2} \tag{4}$$

For a highly soluble and reactive gas such as nitric acid and ammonia, the canopy resistance (\mathbf{R}_c) is expected to be much less than the aerodynamic and boundary layer resistances, so that

$$V_d \simeq (R_a + R_b)^{-1} \tag{5}$$

It can be shown that the deposition velocity varies with the wind speed and standard deviation in wind direction, as follows:

$$V_{\rm d} \simeq \frac{{\rm u}\sigma_{\theta}^2}{1+\sigma_{\theta}} \tag{6}$$

The dry deposition flux (F_d) can be represented as

$$\mathbf{F}_{d} = \mathbf{V}_{d}[\mathbf{c}] \tag{7}$$

where [c] is the concentration of the pollutant measured at a given height.

Cloud Deposition Model

The deposition process for cloud water is a function of the free stream velocity of the droplets, the concentration of droplets (liquid water content of the cloud), the droplet size distribution, and the size, shape, and distribution of elements protruding into the flow. Lovett³⁰ developed a multiple-layer model in which the vertical turbulent transport of droplets is controlled by the aerodynamic resistances between model layers and between the top layer and the air above the canopy. The transfer of cloud droplets from the surrounding air to the canopy has been modelled by direct analogy to Ohm's law.

The cloud deposition flux (F_c) is calculated as the ratio of the concentration of cloud droplets (V_i) to the turbulent resistance (R_i) . Hence,

$$F_{c} = \frac{V_{i}}{R_{i}}$$
(8)

where

$$R_{i} = 0.5 \left(\frac{dz_{i}}{K_{di}} + \frac{dz_{i-1}}{K_{di-1}} \right)$$
(9)

and K_d is the turbulent diffusivity for cloud droplets,

$$K_d = K_m = k u^* (z-d),$$
 (10)

 K_m is the diffusivity of momentum, k is von Karman's constant (0.41), u^{*} is the friction velocity, d is the zero plane displacement, and z is the height within the canopy.

 V_i may be calculated assuming a droplet size distribution³¹ or obtained experimentally using an FSSP.

A modified version of the cloud deposition model, CDM,³⁰ was used in estimating the cloud-water volumetric deposition rate per unit area. The CDM requires four meteorological parameters (namely, temperature, wind speed, solar radiation, and relative humidity) and a cloud physical parameter (namely, the liquid water content of the clouds). The cloud deposition model is sensitive to changes in the cloud drop size distribution and canopy top wind speed. Since the meteorological measurements were made at the top of a 16.5-m tall meteorological tower, a logarithmic profile equation was used to estimate winds at the top of the canopy.³² The cloud frequency estimates (immersion time) were based on the measurements with the cloud detector.²¹

Rainfall Deposition

Pollutants can be scavenged by raindrops and delivered to environmental surfaces by rainfall. The rainfall (i.e., wet deposition flux, F_w) is computed as

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{p} \tag{11}$$

where I_p is the precipitation intensity obtained in terms of precipitation amount collected within a projected time scale (e.g., cm h⁻¹), and C_p is the concentration of the pollutant of interest in the precipitation.

TECHNICAL PAPER

Results and Discussion

Ambient Nitrogen Pollutant Concentrations

The mean, standard deviation, and range of concentrations of nitric acid, nitrous acid, ammonia, NO_x, and fine particulate sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium are given in Table I. The mean concentrations of nitric acid for all sampling periods in 1988 and 1989 were $1.14 \pm 0.96 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$ and $1.40 \pm 0.59 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$, respectively. The observed concentrations of gaseous nitric acid ranged from 0.13 to 5.62 μ g/m³. The concentrations observed at Mt. Mitchell, which is considered a "pristine" site, were comparable to values reported in other remote locations³³⁻³⁸; however, the observed maximum values tended to approach some of the measurements made in urban locations.^{39,40} Nitrous acid concentrations were found to be low (~ $0.3 \pm 0.05 \,\mu$ g/m³ for both 1988 and 1989). The mean ammonia concentrations were $0.62 \pm 0.79 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$ and $1.47 \pm$ 1.16 µg/m³, respectively, for 1988 and 1989. The mean concentrations of NO_x, measured using a chemiluminecence analyzer, were found to be $2.16 \pm 1.76 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$ and $5.3 \pm 4.1 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$ for the 1988 and 1989 field seasons, respectively.

Particulate sulfate dominated the aerosol fraction, followed by particulate ammonium. The mean concentrations of particulate ammonium and sulfate aerosols were $1.24 \pm 1.04 \ \mu g/m^3$ and $4.35 \pm 3.22 \ \mu g/m^3$ for the 1988 sampling season, and $0.89 \pm 0.90 \ \mu g/m^3$ and $4.33 \pm 3.16 \ \mu g/m^3$ for the 1989 sampling season. Nitrate concentrations were much lower compared to sulfate and ammonium $(0.11 \pm 0.04 \ \mu g/m^3$ and $0.22 \pm 0.10 \ \mu g/m^3$ for 1988 and 1989, respectively). An ionic balance of the ions showed a good coefficient of determination ($r^2 = 0.89$) between fine particulate sulfate and ammonium (Figure 2). The straight line in Figure 2 represents a 2:1 mole ratio between ammonium and sulfate. The observed anion/cation balance for the teflon filter and the observed low concentrations of particulate nitrate suggest that the aerosol at Mt. Mitchell is predominantly ammonium sulfate.

The mean concentration of NO_x was higher in 1989 than in 1988. The levels of nitric oxide (NO) were always very low, usually lower than the detection limit of the instrument. The

Table I. Mean, standard deviation(s), and range of concentrations of the gaseous and fine aerosol nitrogen species measured.

Species	Concentrati 1988	on (µg/m³)* 1989
las HNO ₃	1.14 ± 0.96 (0.13 - 5.62)	1.40 ± 0.59 (0.55 - 2.60)
HNO ₂	0.30 ± 0.05 (0.09 - 1,53)	0.30 ± 0.04 (0.02 - 0.70)
NH ₃	0.62 ± 0.79 (0.01 - 4.98)	1.47 ± 1.16 (0.20 - 3.23)
NOx	2.16 ± 1.76 (< 14)	5.3 ± 4.1 (< 15)
article NH4	1.24 ± 1.04 (0.01 - 4.72)	0.89 ± 0.90 (0.24 - 2.32)
S04-	4.35 ± 3.22 (0.21 - 18.13)	4.33 ± 3.16 (0.50 - 11.82
NO ₃	0.11 ± 0.04 (0.02 - 0.21)	0.22 ± 0.10 (0.10 - 0.78)



Figure 2. Ionic balance between fine particulate ammonium and sulfate aerosol concentrations.

precision associated with the ADS measurements was observed to be good, based on the three co-located sampling runs during the season which provided similar concentrations (e.g., 1.27 and $1.16 \ \mu g/m^3$ for HNO₃ in one paired sampling). The laboratory and field blanks suggested no source of contamination.

Cloud Water Concentrations

The mean concentrations of nitrate in the cloud water samples were 238 μ equ/l in 1988 and 135 μ equ/l in 1989 (Table II). The ammonium ion concentrations were 214 and 147 μ equ/l for the 1988 and 1989 field seasons, respectively. The ionic concentrations in the cloud water were lower in 1989 compared to previous years. Nitrate and ammonium contributed ~13 and ~15 percent, respectively, to the total ionic concentration in the cloud water.

Precipitation Concentrations

The concentrations of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ in precipitation were quite low (Table II) compared to cloud ionic values in both 1988 and 1989 (26.4 and 17 μ equ/l for NO₃⁻, and 14 and 15 μ equ/l for NH₄⁺). The other major ionic concentrations in the precipitation samples also were quite low compared to the corresponding cloud values.

Deposition Estimates

Dry deposition fluxes were estimated using the measured air concentrations and the model estimated dry deposition velocities. The dry deposition velocity for gaseous ammonia ($8 \cdot 10^{-1}$ cm/s) was obtained from Aneja et. al.⁴¹ Dry deposition fluxes were estimated only for the growing season (May through September) when the

Table II. Mean concentrations (μ mol/l) of NO₃ and NH⁴₄ in cloud water and rainfall.

	Cloud Co	ncentration Rainfall Concentratio		
Year	NO3	NH	NO ₃	NH‡
1988	238 ± 236	214 ± 192	26.4 ± 18.8	14.0 ± 13.0
1989	135 ± 107	147 ± 118	16.6 ± 4.7	15.2 ± 4.7
*The ±	values are one	standard devia	tion (1ơ).	

1112 • September 1994 • Vol. 44 • Journal of Air & Waste Management Association

Table III. Estimated dry deposition of the gaseous and aerosol nitrogen species (kg/ha/season) (Season: May through September).

Species	1988	1	989
HNO ₃	2.6±1.2	3.6	± 1.98
NΩ	15+0.52	33.	148
NU	A	0.01	. 0.70
ND3	U.33 ± U.41	0.21	± 0.72
NH4	0.16 ± 0.11	0.12	± 0.05
NOs	0.02 ± 0.01	0.03	± 0.01

actual measurements were made. The estimated dry deposition fluxes of nitric acid, NO_x , ammonia, particulate ammonium, and particulate nitrate are given in Table III. Nitric acid dry deposition was estimated to be 2.6 and 3.6 kg/ha for the 1988 and 1989 field seasons, respectively. The estimated deposition values for NH₃ were 0.55 and 0.21 kg/ha for the two field seasons. The estimated dry deposition for gaseous NO_x , primarily nitrogen dioxide, was 1.5 kg/ha/season in 1988, and 3.3 kg/ha/season in 1989. Gaseous NO_x dry deposition was comparable to gaseous nitric acid deposition in 1989. The contribution from aerosol nitrates and ammonium to the deposition was very small (0.02 and 0.16 kg/ha/ season). Particle nitrate was not deposited in significant quantities^{42,43} because of the observed low concentration values and the low deposition velocities (1 * 10⁻³ m/s); however, nitric acid deposition was significant.

Hourly cloud water nitrate and ammonium deposition estimates (Tables IV and V) were computed using the cloud deposition model, which requires the mean meteorological data and the liquid water content of the clouds as input data. The observed cloud frequency (cloud immersion time) from the cloud detector was 30 and 40 percent for 1988 and 1989, respectively. The hourly deposition fluxes were calculated using the volume of water deposited per hour (as output from the model) and the measured ionic concentrations. The mean hourly deposition for cloud NO₃ was 20.0 and 16.0 g/ha for the 1988 and 1989 field seasons, respectively. Cloud ammonium ion deposition rates were 4.3 and 4.8 g/ha/hour for the 1988 and 1989 field seasons, respectively. The growing-season (May through September) deposition fluxes were 17.3 and 18.4 kg/ha for NO₃, and 3.8 and 5.5 kg/ha for NH₄⁺ for 1988 and 1989, respectively. Saxena and Lin⁴⁴ reported cloud ionic mass fluxes for Mt. Mitchell during the growing season (May through September) of 1986 and 1987. Their deposition fluxes were 8.79 and 18.47 kg/ha for NO₃, and 3.06 and 5.67 kg/ha for NH₄⁺ for 1986 and 1987, respectively. These values are similar to those observed during 1988 and 1989.

The rainfall deposition fluxes for nitrate varied from 0.44 to 1.63 kg/ha/month for the 1988 sampling season; they varied from 0.85 to 2.40 kg/ha/month for the 1989 sampling season. The mean ammonium deposition amounts were estimated to be 0.1 and 0.33 kg/ha/month for 1988 and 1989, respectively. The total wet deposition in 1989 was higher than 1988 (6.6 kg/ha/season in 1988, compared to 8.4 kg/ha/season in 1989).

Table IV. Estimates of ionic mass fluxes (kg/ha/season) in cloud water and rainfall.

			Growing (May ti	g Season hrough S	Depositio eptember))	
6	Cloud		louds	kg/ha/set	ison Rai	infall	
Year	Percen	It NOj	NH,	t	NO;	NH4	
1988	30	17.3 ± 4.9	3 3.8±1	.68	4.0 ± 2.8	0.37 ± 0.	27
1989	40	18.4 ± 7.3	5.5 ±	2.8 6	.5 ± 1.82	1.7 ± 0.2) 3

Table V. Estimated total deposition of NO_3 and NH_4^+ for the period May through September. Units are kg/ha/season.

eposition	1988	1988 1989		1988 1989	
Vet	6.0	6.7	0.6	1.7	
	4.0		~ ~		
ry	4.2	0.9	0.3	U.5	
loud	17.2	19.4	20	66	
1000	11.0	10.4	0.0	0.0	

Estimates of the total deposition of nitrate and ammonium from wet deposition, dry deposition, and cloud interception are summarized in Table V. In this table, nitrate dry deposition includes deposition due to gaseous nitric acid, NO_x , and particulate nitrate. Ammonium dry deposition includes deposition due to gaseous ammonia and particulate ammonium.

The relative amounts of cloud and rain deposition are important because cloud water deposition is the factor that differentiates mountains from lowlands. A schematic comparison of the deposition fluxes for NO₃ and NH⁴₄ due to the above three mechanisms is given in Figure 3. Comparing the deposition from dry, wet (precipitation), and cloud interception, we conclude that cloud water interception contributed more nitrogen (~60 percent) to the total deposition than combined wet (~20 percent) and dry (~20 percent) deposition. The estimated mean total deposition of NO₃ over the sampling period (five months) of 1988 was ~27.5 kg/ha. Wet (i.e., rainfall) nitrate deposition for the same period was 6 kg/ha (about 22 percent of the total nitrate deposition), while the dry deposition of nitrate for 1988 was ~4.2 kg/ha/season (contributing about 18 percent of the total



Journal of Air & Waste Management Association • Vol. 44 • September 1994 • 1113

nitrate deposition). For the 1989 field season, wet and dry deposition contributed ~21 and ~22 percent, respectively, for the total deposition. For ammonium ion deposition, cloud deposition contributed more than 70 percent toward the total ammonium deposition.

Conclusions

Ambient measurements for primary nitrogen compounds were made during May through September of 1988 and 1989 at Mt. Mitchell State Park (Mt. Gibbs), North Carolina. Significant quantities of nitric acid (mean ~1.25 μ g/m³ and range 0.13 to 5.62 μ g/m³) and ammonia (mean ~0.75 μ g/m³ and range 0.01 to 4.98 μ g/m³) were found to be present in the gaseous form. Particle nitrate concentrations were low and undetectable for most sampling periods. Sulfate (mean concentration ~3.2 μ g/m³) dominated the aerosol fraction, followed by particulate ammonium (mean concentration of ~1.2 μ g/m³). Mean concentrations of nitrate and ammonium ions in cloud water samples were 238 and 214 μ mol/l in 1988, and 135 and 147 μ mol/l in 1989, respectively. Similarly, the concentrations of nitrate and ammonium ions in rainfall were 27 and 17 μ mol/l in 1988, and 14 and 15 μ mol/l in 1989, respectively.

Based on our estimates of nitrate deposition, cloud deposition contributed more nitrate and ammonium to the total deposition than dry and wet deposition combined. The total nitrogen deposition at Mt. Mitchell for the growing seasons (May through September) of 1988 and 1989 was estimated as ~9.8 and ~13.2 kg N/ha/season, respectively. Extrapolation of these growing season fluxes to annual nitrogen deposition could be erroneous, because concentrations of nitrogen species during the winter season are unknown. However, in the absence of winter measurements, an extrapolated mean annual nitrogen deposition flux for 1988 and 1989 was estimated as ~30 and ~40 kg N/ha/year, respectively. Argen⁴⁵ predicted that nitrogen-saturated forests would begin to appear after 20 to 25 years if the nitrogen deposition rate was in the range of 30 kg/ha/year. The annual nitrogen requirement (i.e., 'critical load' of the Smoky Mountains forest as given by the Integrated Forest Study (IFS)⁴⁶) was ~32 kg N/ha/year, and a 'critical load' of 10 to 20 kg N/ha/year has been published⁴⁷ for forest ecosystems in Europe. Though the mean estimated value for 1988 is within the required amount of the IFS value, the 1989 deposition flux (~40 kg N/ha/year) is higher than that suggested by the IFS required amount, thus bringing into question the effect of over-fertilization, primarily by airborne deposition, on the forest ecosystem.

Acknowledgments

This research has been funded through a cooperative agreement with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (813934-01-2) as part of the Mountain Cloud Chemistry Program. We express sincere appreciation to Prof. V.K. Saxena for his suggestions and comments, and to the late Dr. R. Bradow for his assistance in the 1988 field work and chemical analysis of the denuder samples. We would like to thank Dr. S.P.S. Arya and Dr. W. Robarge for their useful comments. Thanks to Mrs. P. Aneja, Ms. B. Batts, and Ms. M. DeFeo for the preparation of the manuscript.

Disclaimer

The contents of this document do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, nor the views of all members of the Mountain Cloud Chemistry consortia, nor does mention of trade names of commercial or noncommercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

References

- E.B. Cowling, "Critical review discussion papers Effects of air pollution on forests," J. Air Pollut. Control Assoc., 36:916 (1985).
- E.B. Cowling, "Recent changes in chemical climate and related effects on forests in North America and Europe," AMBIO, 18:167 (1989).
- H.E. Papke, B. Krahl-Urban, "The forest: An ecosystem at risk," in *Forest Decline*, B. Krahl-Urban et al., eds., Assessment group of biology, ecology, and energy of the Jülich Nuclear Research Center, p. 16 (1988).
- H.A. Johnson, S.B. McLaughlin, M.B. Adams, E.R. Cook, D.H. DeHayes, C. Eagar, I.J. Fernandez, D.W. Johnson, R.J. Kohut, V.A. Mohnen, N.S. Nicholas, D.R. Peart, G.A. Schier, P.S. White. "Section III. Why are red spruce declining at high elevations? A synthesis of epidemiological and mechanistic studies," E. Eagar, M.B. Adams, eds., op cit (1992).
- 5. J.D. Joslin, M.H. Wolfe, "Foliar deficiencies of mature southern Appalachian red spruce determined from fertilizer trials," *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, in press.
- R.I. Bruck, "The forest decline enigma Is it air pollution related?" Plant Disease, 71 (1987).
- J.N. Woodman, E.B. Cowling, "Airborne chemicals and forest health," Environ. Sci. and Technol., 21:120 (1987).
- 8. B. Nihlgard, "The ammonium hypothesis An additional explanation to the forest dieback in Europe," AMBIO, 14:2 (1985).
- 9. E.D. Schulze, "Air pollution and forest decline in a spruce (*Picea abies*) forest," *Science*, **244**:776 (1989).
- A.J. Friedland, G.J. Hawley, R.A. Gregory, "Red spruce (*Picea rubens Sarg.*) foliar chemistry in northern Vermont and New York, U.S.A." *Plant Soil*, 105:189 (1988).
- 11. G.M. Lovett, "Atmospheric deposition and canopy interactions of nitrogen," in *Atmospheric Deposition and Nutrient Cycling in Forest Ecosystems*, D.W. Johnson, S.E. Lindberg, eds., Springer-Verlag, New York (1991).
- 12. D.W. Johnson, "Nitrogen retention in forest soils," J. Environ. Quality, 21:1 (1992).
- J.D. Joslin, J.M. Kelly, H. Van Milgroet, "Soil chemistry and nutrition of North American spruce-fir stands: Evidence for recent change," *J. Environ. Quality*, 21:12 (1992).
- V.P. Aneja, Z. Li, "Characterization of ozone at high elevation in the Eastern United States: Trends, seasonal variations, and exposure," J. Geophys. Res., 97(D9):9873 (1992).
- Z. Li, V.P. Aneja, "Regional analysis of cloud chemistry at high elevations in the Eastern United States," *Atmos. Environ.*, 26A:2001 (1992).
- V.P. Aneja, W.P. Robarge, C.S. Claiborn, A. Murthy, D.S. Kin, Z. Li, E.B. Cowling, "Chemical climatology of high elevation spruce-fir forests in the southern Applachian Mountains," *Environ. Poll.*, 75:89 (1992).
- V.P. Aneja, D.S. Kim, "Chemical dynamics of clouds at Mt. Mitchell, North Carolina," J. Air & Waste Manage. Assoc., 43:1074 (1993).
- D.S. Kim, V.P. Aneja, "Chemical composition of clouds at Mt. Mitchell, North Carolina, U.S.A.," *Tellus*, 44B:41 (1992).
- R.E. Falconer, P.D. Falconer, "Determination of cloud water acidity at a mountain observatory in the Adirondack Mountains of New York State," J. Geophys. Res., 85(C12):7465 (1980).
- B.C. Daube, R.C. Flagan, M.R. Hoffmann, Active cloudwater collector, U.S. Patent 4 697.462 (1987).
- 21. R.K.A.M. Mallant, G.P.A. Kos, "An optical device for the detection of clouds and fog," *Aerosol Sci. and Technol.*, 13:196 (1990).
- R.J. Valente, R.K. Mallant, S.E. McLaren, R.E. Shemenauer, "Field intercomparsion of ground-based cloud physics instruments at Whitetop Mountains, Virginia," J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 6:396 (1989).
- V.P. Aneja, S. Businger, Z. Li, C.S. Claiborn, A. Murthy, "Ozone climatology at high elevations in the southern Appalachians," J. Geophys. Res., 96:1007 (1991).
- 24. V.P. Aneja, C.S. Claiborn, Z. Li, A. Murthy, "Trends, seasonal variations, and analysis of high elevation surface nitric acid, ozone, and hydrogen peroxide," *Atmos. Environ.*, **28**:1781 (1994).
- M. Possanzini, A. Febo, A. Liberti, "New design of a high performance denuder for the sampling of atmospheric pollutants," *Atmos. Environ.*, 17:2605 (1983).
- A. Febo, F.D. Santis, C. Perrino, "Measurement of atmospheric nitrous and nitric acid by means of annular denuders," in *Physico-Chemical Behavior of Atmospheric Pollutants, Proc. IV Eur. Symp.*, Stresa, September 23-25 (1986).
- 27. J.E. Sickles, L.L. Hodson, "Fate of nitrous acid on selected collection surfaces," *Atmos. Environ.*, 23:2321 (1989).

- M.E. Peden, S.R. Bachman, C.J. Brennan, B. Demir, K.O. James, B.W. Kaiser, J.M. Lockard, J.E. Rothert, J. Saur, L.M. Skowron, M.J. Slater, "Development of standard methods for the collection and analysis of precipitation," Illinois State Water Survey, Champaign, Illinois, Report No. ISWS Contract Report 381 (1986).
- B.B. Hicks, D.D. Baldocchi, T.P. Meyers, R.P. Hosker, R.P. Matt, "A preliminary multiple resistance routine for deriving dry deposition velocities from measured quantities," *Water, Air and Soil Poll.*, 36:311 (1987).
- 30. G.M. Lovett, "Rates and mechanisms of cloud water deposition to subalpine balsam fir forest," *Atmos. Environ.*, **18**:361 (1984).
- 31. A.C. Best, "Drop-size distribution in cloud and fog," Quart. J. Roy. Met. Soc., 77:418 (1951).
- 32. V.K. Saxena, R.E. Stogner, A.H. Hendler, T.P. DeFelice, R.J.Y. Yeh, N.H. Lin, "Monitoring the chemical climate of the Mt. Mitchell State Park for evaluation of its impact on forest decline," *Tellus*, **41B**:92 (1989).
- 33. B.J. Huebert, A.L. Lazrus, "Tropospheric gas-phase and particulate nitrate measurements," J. Geophys. Res., 85:7322 (1980).
- S.H. Cadle, "Seasonal variations in nitric acid, nitrate, strong aerosol acidity and ammonia in an urban area," *Atmos. Environ.*, 19:181 (1985).
 S.H. Cadle, R.J. Countess, N.A. Kelly, "Nitric acid and ammonia in
- urban and rural locations," Atmos. Environ., 16:2501 (1982).
- 36. R. Shaw, R.K. Stevens, J. Bowermaster, "Measurements of atmospheric nitrate and nitric acid: The denuder difference experiment," *Atmos. Environ.*, 16:845 (1982).
- F.X. Meixner, K.P. Muller, G. Aheimer, K.D. Hofken, "Measurements of gaseous nitric acid and particulate nitrate," in *Proc. COST Action* 611. Meet.: Pollutant cycles and transport - modelling field experiements, F.A.A.M. de Leuw, N.D. van Egmond, eds., Biltholven, The Netherlands, pp. 103-119 (1985).
- R.M. Harrison, A.G. Allen, "Measurements of atmospheric HNO₃, HCL and associated species on a small network in Eastern England," *Atmos. Environ.*, 24A:369 (1990).
- W.R. Pierson, W.W. Brachaczek, "Coarse and fine particulate atmospheric nitrate and HNO, (g) in Claremont, CA during the 1985 Nitrogen Species Comparison Study," Atmos. Environ., 22:1665 (1988).

International Conference

- J.E. Sickles, R.J. Paur, "Sampling and analysis of ambient air near Los Angeles using an Annular Denuder System," *Atmos. Environ.*, 22:1619 (1988).
- 41. V.P. Aneja, H.H. Rogers, E.P. Stahel, "Dry deposition of ammonia at environmental concentrations on selected plant species," J. Air Poll. Control Assoc., 36:1338 (1986).
- 42. S.E. Lindberg, D.W. Johnson, eds., Annual Report of the Integrated Forest Study, p. 38 (1988).
- 43. P.J. Hanson, S.E. Lindberg, "Dry deposition of reactive nitrogen compounds: A review of leaf, canopy, and non-foliar measurements," *Atmos. Environ.*, **25A**:1615 (1991).
- 44. V.K. Saxena, N.H. Lin, "Cloud chemistry measurements and estimates of acidic deposition on an above cloudbase coniferous forest," *Atmos. Environ.*, 24A:329 (1990).
- 45. G. Argen, "Model analysis of some consequences of acid precipitation on forest growth," in Ecological Effects of Acid Deposition, National Swedish Environment Protection Board, Solna, SNVPM, 1636:233 (1983).
- D.W. Johnson, S.E. Lindberg, eds., Atmospheric Deposition and Nutrient Cycling in Forest Ecosystems, Springer-Verlag, New York (1991).
- 47. J. Nilsson, Critical Loads for Nitrogen and Sulfur, Nordic Council of Ministers, Copenhagen (1986).

About the Authors

Dr. Viney P. Aneja is a research associate professor and Ms. Anuradha Murthy was a graduate research assistant with the Department of Marine, Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-8208. This manuscript was submitted for peer review in April, 1993; the revised manuscript was received in January, 1994.

Environmental Standards Development and Enforcement in Mexico, Canada and the U.S.

Hotel Radisson Paraiso, Mexico City October 27-28, 1994

Cosponsored by Air & Waste Management Association

In conjunction, a full-day seminar will be held on October 26, 1994 at the Hotel Radisson Paraiso. Led by the Canadian Standards Association, this seminar will discuss the new environmental management systems standards and guidelines currently being developed by the International Standards Organization (ISO).

For more information, please contact Michael Roy, A&WMA, One Gateway Center, 3rd Floor, Pittsburgh, PA 15222, phone: (412) 232-3444, ext. 3144, fax: (412) 232-3450.